National Anti-Slavery Standard.

VOL. XXI. NO. 44. NEW YORK, SATURDAY, MARCH 16, 1861. WHOLE NO. 1,084.

PUBLISHED WEEKLY, ON SATURDAY,
AT TWO DOLLARS PER ANNUM,
BY THE
AMERICAN ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY,
At Its Office, No. 5 Beekman Street, New York
AND AT THE OFFICE OF THE
PENNSYLVANIA ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY,
107 N. Fifth St., above Arch, Philadelphia.

Letters for publication, or relating in any way to the editorial conduct of the paper, should be addressed, "EDITOR NATIONAL ANTI-SLAVERY STANDARD, NEW YORK." Letters enclosing subscription or relating in any way to the business affairs of the paper, should be addressed, "PUBLISHER OF THE NATIONAL ANTI-SLAVERY STANDARD, NEW YORK."
ADVERTISEMENTS, 10 cents per line each insertion.

Pro-Slavery.

In this Department we give place to such extracts from the Pro-Slavery Press, North and South, as serve best to illustrate the character of Slavery and the spirit of its champions and apologists.

LETTER FROM A SECESSIONIST.

HOUSTON, Tex., Feb. 19, 1861.

DEAR SIR: Your letter of the 13th instant was received yesterday. Before, and in reply to your question, as to my motives, it demands of me a kind and respectful answer.

You say rightly that I was, a short time since, a staunch friend of the Union, and you might have added that I am yet a friend of that Union which our fathers formed, and would cheerfully lay down my life to make it eternal. Looking at the subject from different standpoints, it is natural that it should present a different appearance to you and to me. I see only the evils of disunion, and forget that there are wrongs to which submission is infamy. You do not feel those wrongs, and, therefore, treat them lightly. The plea of the South for treason in your ears, since it creates a necessity for higher duties, Personal Liberty bills do not affect you, and the scornful refusal of Northern Governors to surrender criminals indicted under the laws of the Southern States awaken in your breast no feeling of resentment. The appropriation of all the territory acquired by the common blood and the common treasure to sectional uses, would wear a very different aspect if it was your section that was to be excluded from it.

You would not have made a martyr and a saint of a midnight murderer and house-burner, if the theatre of his exploits had been upon Northern soil. You would not dilate upon the virtues of a Lovejoy, if his irrepressible conflict doctrine had been preached to a class of laborers in your midst; nor would any people, not hopelessly embittered against us, have greeted with rounds of applause the declaration of Wendell Phillips that the name of a St. Domingo negro deserved to be written high above that of Washington on the roll of fame.

I know your answer will be, that these are not the sentiments of a few people in the North. I have given the same answer for you again and again. I believed it for years—I wish I could believe it yet. But I cannot shut my eyes to the fact, that those who preach these things are universally applauded by people delight to honor. I waited and hoped for the dawn of a better day, until hope implied self-stultification. The "regular time for holding elections" has come and gone many times since I ceased to hope. Each time, we were promised that abolition fanatics would be swept away by the blasts of popular indignation, and each time we have seen them grow stronger and stronger. We have ceased to hope, if we have a chance to vote," not once, but often, and every time that chance was allowed them, they have exhibited a growing fondness for the worst men, and the worst doctrines with which your section of the confederacy is cursed. And now, we are asked to submit quietly to the election of a man whose nomination was made in open insult to our feelings—whose principles are avowedly hostile to our institutions and whose friends, from the day of the election, have manifested no feeling but that of bitter, uncompromising enmity, while even you can offer us no hope of reaction anywhere, except in the little hope of ultimacy. You may be sure that the degradation to which you invite me is too deep to be accepted for the little good it promises to bring forth.

You express the hope that I will not use my influence to prevent a reconstruction. It is one of the misfortunes of the times that good men of the North do not seem to understand their own people, or ours. The work of reconstruction must be begun and carried on by you, and not by us. We have been so constantly driven to disunion as the only refuge from slavery. If you want us back again, the *first thing to be done is to remove all just causes of complaint, and dissipate all well-founded apprehensions of future oppression. You must observe the laws, establish justice, and manifest a determination to respect all our constitutional rights. Until this is done it is childish to talk of reconstruction.* You cannot expect us to enter into a partnership where the wrongs and insults of the old one are unredressed, and no provision is made against their recurrence. And now, sir, let me ask you, in all candor, do you believe it possible that we can obtain either redress for the past, or security for the future? Do not answer me by the poor subterfuge that a Democrat will be elected in Connecticut, and another might be in New Hampshire, if the Democracy were not demoralized.

I know, and you ought to know, that the Democracy have furnished the main pillars of the abolition Church. I do not care to know, therefore, whether the Governor of Connecticut claims to be a Democrat or a Whig. The question is, will he use his influence to cause the slavery agitation be allowed to cease? *Can I travel through the State of New York with my servant without being stolen from me? Can I go to Ohio to recapture a slave without being sued, and fined to boot, on account of my color? Can I labor done during the time he was held as my property under the laws of my State? Can I go to Pennsylvania for the same purpose, and not incur the danger of a prison? Can I go anywhere in the free states at the North without being obstructed in the pursuit of my constitutional rights?* Will any Northern Governor surrender a felon who has deprived me of my property? If you can answer these questions to my entire satisfaction, neither my voice nor my vote shall ever be given for a reconstruction of the Union. I have heard others say, and possibly you may say, that all these matters will be right if we only give you time. Very well, take as much time as you please. We do not propose to limit you at all in that matter, but we intend to remain out of the partnership until it is clear to us it is your business to come back to us. After it is finished you will be in a condition to make propositions, and we can listen to them without dishonor. In the meantime, as a precaution against the possible failure of your efforts, we will go on to build such a government as will be sufficient for the protection of our rights.

There is another clause of your letter which I must not pass over unnoticed. You say, "If the Southern States desire peace they can have it, but they cannot maintain it by armed violence, which will surely beget armed opposition. We do desire peace, but we are not willing to purchase it at the sacrifice of our manhood; and threats of coercion will not frighten us into quiescence. We have been guilty of no violence—we have armed ourselves, as it becomes a free people to do when their liberties are endangered, and have prepared to welcome all invaders—in strict accordance with the advice of a Northern statesman—with bloody hands to hospitable graves. No collision will take place unless you bring it about; but you must dismiss from your minds the idea of holding forts upon our territory, or collecting revenue off our seaports. Peace or war is entirely at your option. We have no demands to make—we propose no interference with your rights, and no curtailment of your privileges, unless you esteem it a privilege to rob and enslave us; but we claim the right of deciding for ourselves what amounts to hostilities on your part, and will act accordingly.

It pains me to observe, in the whole tone of your letter, a spirit of fault-finding, which is a bad augury for the future. You seem to think that you have something to complain of. You overlook the wrongs and outrages of which you have been guilty, and murmur at our preparations for defence. It is the wolf charging the lamb with muddying the stream when it was drinking below him. It will do well for you to remember that you are the lambs of this latitude are provided with deadly fangs, and are troubled with no scruples against using them in self-defence.

In conclusion, permit me to add, that if you would rebuild the temple of '76, you must begin by replacing the foundation stones which were fastened by the men of the Revolution—establish justice—promote domestic tranquility, instead of disturbing it, and shape your policy so as to advance the general welfare, instead of the interest of a section. Do this, and you need not doubt that all of our duties will be discharged, and our rights secured.

Very truly and respectfully, yours, etc.,
JERE. CLEMENS.

To Samuel R. Ogden, New York.

"FIERCE PHILANTHROPISTS."

From The New York Observer.

Out of the profoundest mysteries in human nature there is a feeling with which none overlook the general or grand result, while moving heaven and earth to accomplish a particular or subordinate end. Hence, in the mighty struggles in this country, of which the existence among us of the African race has been the occasion, the *feeling* of these opposing parties has been almost wholly disregarded by fierce philanthropists contending for a theory, regardless of the great question that is deeper and farther-reaching than the question of slavery. But, as it has been made the text of many a sermon, the following, from *The Central Presbyterian* of Richmond, Va., touches our sensibilities to the quick, and we do not desire to become case-hardened to such a contingency as is here predicted by a colored prophet:

"Some weeks ago *The Presbyterian Herald* quoted that part of our editorial remarks describing the manner in which the colored people in Richmond kept for day of national fasting and prayer, and how kindly they felt towards their masters. The African churches of Louisville were all open, and well filled on that day with devout and solemn worshippers. One of their ministers, intelligent and trustworthy, declared to us, with tears in his eyes, that he believed they were to be the greatest sufferers from the contest now pending over the people of this country. To use his own language, '*They were fighting up the ropes on us, till we have no privileges left us, either North or South.*'

Disguise it as we will, shut our eyes to the truth of it as we may, the cruel fact sticks us as with a knife, that the anti-slavery agitation of the last quarter of a century has not elevated, but has depressed the colored people of this country. It is a fact, incredible to foreign admirers of free and enlightened America, that whole States have shut their gates against the admission of the race as residents among them, and that in every slaveless section and country there is not a social position that annihilates their hopes of enjoying the privileges of citizens, as if they had been born without brains. And, more wonderful still, the fanatics who have caused the agitation are the pioneers of amicable adjustment of our national troubles, at the risk of rending the Union, making slavery perpetual, reopening the slave trade with all its horrors, and giving a new impulse and reason to support that disunion will be of the least advantage to the African race, bond or free! This is overlooking the greater result for the sake of the less. This is straining at a gnat, and swallowing a prodigious camel. This is saving a penny wise and pound foolish. This is setting fire to the barn to expel the rats. This is the policy that makes the Louisville negro cry out in despair, "Save me from my friends."

TRACTS FOR THE TIMES.

From The New York Express.

THE real, substantial cause of all our national woes is the misunderstanding our people, and hence, all our efforts to restore public confidence will be all in vain, until the people are educated.

1. In the real meaning of the Scriptures, old and new, upon the subject of slavery among the Hebrews, and among the Romans, and our duties as Christians towards that state of society.

2. In the true Scriptural character and organization of the African negro, as distinguished from the Caucasian race.

That is to say, in our colleges, seminaries, schools, books, dictionaries, we have got to be prepared to reconstruct a reconciliation. It is one of the misfortunes of the times that good men of the North do not seem to understand their own people, or ours. The work of reconstruction must be begun and carried on by you, and not by us. We have been so constantly driven to disunion as the only refuge from slavery. If you want us back again, the *first thing to be done is to remove all just causes of complaint, and dissipate all well-founded apprehensions of future oppression. You must observe the laws, establish justice, and manifest a determination to respect all our constitutional rights. Until this is done it is childish to talk of reconstruction.* You cannot expect us to enter into a partnership where the old wrongs and insults of the old one are unredressed, and no provision is made against their recurrence. And now, sir, let me ask you, in all candor, do you believe it possible that we can obtain either redress for the past, or security for the future? Do not answer me by the poor subterfuge that a Democrat will be elected in Connecticut, and another might be in New Hampshire, if the Democracy were not demoralized.

I know, and you ought to know, that the Democracy have furnished the main pillars of the abolition Church. I do not care to know, therefore, whether the Governor of Connecticut claims to be a Democrat or a Whig. The question is, will he use his influence to cause the slavery agitation be allowed to cease? *Can I travel through the State of New York with my servant without being stolen from me? Can I go to Ohio to recapture a slave without being sued, and fined to boot, on account of my color? Can I labor done during the time he was held as my property under the laws of my State? Can I go to Pennsylvania for the same purpose, and not incur the danger of a prison? Can I go anywhere in the free states at the North without being obstructed in the pursuit of my constitutional rights?* Will any Northern Governor surrender a felon who has deprived me of my property? If you can answer these questions to my entire satisfaction, neither my voice nor my vote shall ever be given for a reconstruction of the Union. I have heard others say, and possibly you may say, that all these matters will be right if we only give you time. Very well, take as much time as you please. We do not propose to limit you at all in that matter, but we intend to remain out of the partnership until it is clear to us it is your business to come back to us. After it is finished you will be in a condition to make propositions, and we can listen to them without dishonor. In the meantime, as a precaution against the possible failure of your efforts, we will go on to build such a government as will be sufficient for the protection of our rights.

There is another clause of your letter which I must not pass over unnoticed. You say, "If the Southern States desire peace they can have it, but they cannot maintain it by armed violence, which will surely beget armed opposition. We do desire peace, but we are not willing to purchase it at the sacrifice of our manhood; and threats of coercion will not frighten us into quiescence. We have been guilty of no violence—we have armed ourselves, as it becomes a free people to do when their liberties are endangered, and have prepared to welcome all invaders—in strict accordance with the advice of a Northern statesman—with bloody hands to hospitable graves. No collision will take place unless you bring it about; but you must dismiss from your minds the idea of holding forts upon our territory, or collecting revenue off our seaports. Peace or war is entirely at your option. We have no demands to make—we propose no interference with your rights, and no curtailment of your privileges, unless you esteem it a privilege to rob and enslave us; but we claim the right of deciding for ourselves what amounts to hostilities on your part, and will act accordingly.

I pains me to observe, in the whole tone of your letter, a spirit of fault-finding, which is a bad augury for the future. You seem to think that you have something to complain of. You overlook the wrongs and outrages of which you have been guilty, and murmur at our preparations for defence. It is the wolf charging the lamb with muddying the stream when it was drinking below him. It will do well for you to remember that you are the lambs of this latitude are provided with deadly fangs, and are troubled with no scruples against using them in self-defence.

In conclusion, permit me to add, that if you would rebuild the temple of '76, you must begin by replacing the foundation stones which were fastened by the men of the Revolution—establish justice—promote domestic tranquility, instead of disturbing it, and shape your policy so as to advance the general welfare, instead of the interest of a section. Do this, and you need not doubt that all of our duties will be discharged, and our rights secured.

Very truly and respectfully, yours, etc.,
JERE. CLEMENS.

To Samuel R. Ogden, New York.

"FIERCE PHILANTHROPISTS."

From The New York Observer.

Out of the profoundest mysteries in human nature there is a feeling with which none overlook the general or grand result, while moving heaven and earth to accomplish a particular or subordinate end. Hence, in the mighty struggles in this country, of which the existence among us of the African race has been the occasion, the *feeling* of these opposing parties has been almost wholly disregarded by fierce philanthropists contending for a theory, regardless of the great question that is deeper and farther-reaching than the question of slavery. But, as it has been made the text of many a sermon, the following, from *The Central Presbyterian* of Richmond, Va., touches our sensibilities to the quick, and we do not desire to become case-hardened to such a contingency as is here predicted by a colored prophet:

"Some weeks ago *The Presbyterian Herald* quoted that part of our editorial remarks describing the manner in which the colored people in Richmond kept the day of national fasting and prayer, and how kindly they felt towards their masters. The African churches of Louisville were all open, and well filled on that day with devout and solemn worshippers. One of their ministers, intelligent and trustworthy, declared to us, with tears in his eyes, that he believed they were to be the greatest sufferers from the contest now pending over the people of this country. To use his own language, '*They were fighting up the ropes on us, till we have no privileges left us, either North or South.*'

Disguise it as we will, shut our eyes to the truth of it as we may, the cruel fact sticks us as with a knife, that the anti-slavery agitation of the last quarter of a century has not elevated, but has depressed the colored people of this country. It is a fact, incredible to foreign admirers of free and enlightened America, that whole States have shut their gates against the admission of the race as residents among them, and that in every slaveless section and country there is not a social position that annihilates their hopes of enjoying the privileges of citizens, as if they had been born without brains. And, more wonderful still, the fanatics who have caused the agitation are the pioneers of amicable adjustment of our national troubles, at the risk of rending the Union, making slavery perpetual, reopening the slave trade with all its horrors, and giving a new impulse and reason to support that disunion will be of the least advantage to the African race, bond or free! This is overlooking the greater result for the sake of the less. This is straining at a gnat, and swallowing a prodigious camel. This is saving a penny wise and pound foolish. This is setting fire to the barn to expel the rats. This is the policy that makes the Louisville negro cry out in despair, "Save me from my friends."

TRACTS FOR THE TIMES.

From The New York Express.

THE real, substantial cause of all our national woes is the misunderstanding our people, and hence, all our efforts to restore public confidence will be all in vain, until the people are educated.

1. In the real meaning of the Scriptures, old and new, upon the subject of slavery among the Hebrews, and among the Romans, and our duties as Christians towards that state of society.

2. In the true Scriptural character and organization of the African negro, as distinguished from the Caucasian race.

That is to say, in our colleges, seminaries, schools, books, dictionaries, we have got to be prepared to reconstruct a reconciliation. It is one of the misfortunes of the times that good men of the North do not seem to understand their own people, or ours. The work of reconstruction must be begun and carried on by you, and not by us. We have been so constantly driven to disunion as the only refuge from slavery. If you want us back again, the *first thing to be done is to remove all just causes of complaint, and dissipate all well-founded apprehensions of future oppression. You must observe the laws, establish justice, and manifest a determination to respect all our constitutional rights. Until this is done it is childish to talk of reconstruction.* You cannot expect us to enter into a partnership where the old wrongs and insults of the old one are unredressed, and no provision is made against their recurrence. And now, sir, let me ask you, in all candor, do you believe it possible that we can obtain either redress for the past, or security for the future? Do not answer me by the poor subterfuge that a Democrat will be elected in Connecticut, and another might be in New Hampshire, if the Democracy were not demoralized.

I know, and you ought to know, that the Democracy have furnished the main pillars of the abolition Church. I do not care to know, therefore, whether the Governor of Connecticut claims to be a Democrat or a Whig. The question is, will he use his influence to cause the slavery agitation be allowed to cease? *Can I travel through the State of New York with my servant without being stolen from me? Can I go to Ohio to recapture a slave without being sued, and fined to boot, on account of my color? Can I labor done during the time he was held as my property under the laws of my State? Can I go to Pennsylvania for the same purpose, and not incur the danger of a prison? Can I go anywhere in the free states at the North without being obstructed in the pursuit of my constitutional rights?* Will any Northern Governor surrender a felon who has deprived me of my property? If you can answer these questions to my entire satisfaction, neither my voice nor my vote shall ever be given for a reconstruction of the Union. I have heard others say, and possibly you may say, that all these matters will be right if we only give you time. Very well, take as much time as you please. We do not propose to limit you at all in that matter, but we intend to remain out of the partnership until it is clear to us it is your business to come back to us. After it is finished you will be in a condition to make propositions, and we can listen to them without dishonor. In the meantime, as a precaution against the possible failure of your efforts, we will go on to build such a government as will be sufficient for the protection of our rights.

There is another clause of your letter which I must not pass over unnoticed. You say, "If the Southern States desire peace they can have it, but they cannot maintain it by armed violence, which will surely beget armed opposition. We do desire peace, but we are not willing to purchase it at the sacrifice of our manhood; and threats of coercion will not frighten us into quiescence. We have been guilty of no violence—we have armed ourselves, as it becomes a free people to do when their liberties are endangered, and have prepared to welcome all invaders—in strict accordance with the advice of a Northern statesman—with bloody hands to hospitable graves. No collision will take place unless you bring it about; but you must dismiss from your minds the idea of holding forts upon our territory, or collecting revenue off our seaports. Peace or war is entirely at your option. We have no demands to make—we propose no interference with your rights, and no curtailment of your privileges, unless you esteem it a privilege to rob and enslave us; but we claim the right of deciding for ourselves what amounts to hostilities on your part, and will act accordingly.

I pains me to observe, in the whole tone of your letter, a spirit of fault-finding, which is a bad augury for the future. You seem to think that you have something to complain of. You overlook the wrongs and outrages of which you have been guilty, and murmur at our preparations for defence. It is the wolf charging the lamb with muddying the stream when it was drinking below him. It will do well for you to remember that you are the lambs of this latitude are provided with deadly fangs, and are troubled with no scruples against using them in self-defence.

In conclusion, permit me to add, that if you would rebuild the temple of '76, you must begin by replacing the foundation stones which were fastened by the men of the Revolution—establish justice—promote domestic tranquility, instead of disturbing it, and shape your policy so as to advance the general welfare, instead of the interest of a section. Do this, and you need not doubt that all of our duties will be discharged, and our rights secured.

Very truly and respectfully, yours, etc.,
JERE. CLEMENS.

To Samuel R. Ogden, New York.

"FIERCE PHILANTHROPISTS."

From The New York Observer.

Out of the profoundest mysteries in human nature there is a feeling with which none overlook the general or grand result, while moving heaven and earth to accomplish a particular or subordinate end. Hence, in the mighty struggles in this country, of which the existence among us of the African race has been the occasion, the *feeling* of these opposing parties has been almost wholly disregarded by fierce philanthropists contending for a theory, regardless of the great question that is deeper and farther-reaching than the question of slavery. But, as it has been made the text of many a sermon, the following, from *The Central Presbyterian* of Richmond, Va., touches our sensibilities to the quick, and we do not desire to become case-hardened to such a contingency as is here predicted by a colored prophet:

"Some weeks ago *The Presbyterian Herald* quoted that part of our editorial remarks describing the manner in which the colored people in Richmond kept the day of national fasting and prayer, and how kindly they felt towards their masters. The African churches of Louisville were all open, and well filled on that day with devout and solemn worshippers. One of their ministers, intelligent and trustworthy, declared to us, with tears in his eyes, that he believed they were to be the greatest sufferers from the contest now pending over the people of this country. To use his own language, '*They were fighting up the ropes on us, till we have no privileges left us, either North or South.*'

Disguise it as we will, shut our eyes to the truth of it as we may, the cruel fact sticks us as with a knife, that the anti-slavery agitation of the last quarter of a century has not elevated, but has depressed the colored people of this country. It is a fact, incredible to foreign admirers of free and enlightened America, that whole States have shut their gates against the admission of the race as residents among them, and that in every slaveless section and country there is not a social position that annihilates their hopes of enjoying the privileges of citizens, as if they had been born without brains. And, more wonderful still, the fanatics who have caused the agitation are the pioneers of amicable adjustment of our national troubles, at the risk of rending the Union, making slavery perpetual, reopening the slave trade with all its horrors, and giving a new impulse and reason to support that disunion will be of the least advantage to the African race, bond or free! This is overlooking the greater result for the sake of the less. This is straining at a gnat, and swallowing a prodigious camel. This is saving a penny wise and pound foolish. This is setting fire to the barn to expel the rats. This is the policy that makes the Louisville negro cry out in despair, "Save me from my friends."

TRACTS FOR THE TIMES.

From The New York Express.

THE real, substantial cause of all our national woes is the misunderstanding our people, and hence, all our efforts to restore public confidence will be all in vain, until the people are educated.

1. In the real meaning of the Scriptures, old and new, upon the subject of slavery among the Hebrews, and among the Romans, and our duties as Christians towards that state of society.

2. In the true Scriptural character and organization of the African negro, as distinguished from the Caucasian race.

That is to say, in our colleges, seminaries, schools, books, dictionaries, we have got to be prepared to reconstruct a reconciliation. It is one of the misfortunes of the times that good men of the North do not seem to understand their own people, or ours. The work of reconstruction must be begun and carried on by you, and not by us. We have been so constantly driven to disunion as the only refuge from slavery. If you want us back again, the *first thing to be done is to remove all just causes of complaint, and dissipate all well-founded apprehensions of future oppression. You must observe the laws, establish justice, and manifest a determination to respect all our constitutional rights. Until this is done it is childish to talk of reconstruction.* You cannot expect us to enter into a partnership where the old wrongs and insults of the old one are unredressed, and no provision is made against their recurrence. And now, sir, let me ask you, in all candor, do you believe it possible that we can obtain either redress for the past, or security for the future? Do not answer me by the poor subterfuge that a Democrat will be elected in Connecticut, and another might be in New Hampshire, if the Democracy were not demoralized.

I know, and you ought to know, that the Democracy have furnished the main pillars of the abolition Church. I do not care to know, therefore, whether the Governor of Connecticut claims to be a Democrat or a Whig. The question is, will he use his influence to cause the slavery agitation be allowed to cease? *Can I travel through the State of New York with my servant without being stolen from me? Can I go to Ohio to recapture a slave without being sued, and fined to boot, on account of my color? Can I labor done during the time he was held as my property under the laws of my State? Can I go to Pennsylvania for the same purpose, and not incur the danger of a prison? Can I go anywhere in the free states at the North without being obstructed in the pursuit of my constitutional rights?* Will any Northern Governor surrender a felon who has deprived me of my property? If you can answer these questions to my entire satisfaction, neither my voice nor my vote shall ever be given for a reconstruction of the Union. I have heard others say, and possibly you may say, that all these matters will be right if we only give you time. Very well, take as much time as you please. We do not propose to limit you at all in that matter, but we intend to remain out of the partnership until it is clear to us it is your business to come back to us. After it is finished you will be in a condition to make propositions, and we can listen to them without dishonor. In the meantime, as a precaution against the possible failure of your efforts, we will go on to build such a government as will be sufficient for the protection of our rights.

There is another clause of your letter which I must not pass over unnoticed. You say, "If the Southern States desire peace they can have it, but they cannot maintain it by armed violence, which will surely beget armed opposition. We do desire peace, but we are not willing to purchase it at the sacrifice of our manhood; and threats of coercion will not frighten us into quiescence. We have been guilty of no violence—we have armed ourselves, as it becomes a free people to do when their liberties are endangered, and have prepared to welcome all invaders—in strict accordance with the advice of a Northern statesman—with bloody hands to hospitable graves. No collision will take place unless you bring it about; but you must dismiss from your minds the idea of holding forts upon our territory, or collecting revenue off our seaports. Peace or war is entirely at your option. We have no demands to make—we propose no interference with your rights, and no curtailment of your privileges, unless you esteem it a privilege to rob and enslave us; but we claim the right of deciding for ourselves what amounts to hostilities on your part, and will act accordingly.

I pains me to observe, in the whole tone of your letter, a spirit of fault-finding, which is a bad augury for the future. You seem to think that you have something to complain of. You overlook the wrongs and outrages of which you have been guilty, and murmur at our preparations for defence. It is the wolf charging the lamb with muddying the stream when it was drinking below him. It will do well for you to remember that you are the lambs of this latitude are provided with deadly fangs, and are troubled with no scruples against using them in self-defence.

In conclusion, permit me to add, that if you would rebuild the temple of '76, you must begin by replacing the foundation stones which were fastened by the men of the Revolution—establish justice—promote domestic tranquility, instead of disturbing it, and shape your policy so as to advance the general welfare, instead of the interest of a section. Do this, and you need not doubt that all of our duties will be discharged, and our rights secured.

Very truly and respectfully, yours, etc.,
JERE. CLEMENS.

To Samuel R. Ogden, New York.

Selections.

MR. LINCOLN'S INAUGURAL ADDRESS.

REVIEW BY WILLIAM LLOYD GARRISON.

From The Liberator.

SINCE the inauguration of the American government, in 1789, no fourth of March, in the centuries of the Presidency, has been crowded with such conflicting hopes and fears, on the part of the nation, as that of Monday last. Millions waited in almost breathless suspense to hear the first telegram from Washington, sent after the inauguration of that day. It was problematical as to what might happen—and many apprehended the worst—whether the capital might not, after all, witness the commencement of the final work of disunion, by the secession of traitors, through the perfidy of Southern traitors, to deluge the land in blood; whether there would be a successful inauguration of the new President, or his life taken by the hand of assassination, or a wholesale massacre by military precautions of General Scott; and what, in case of no attempt to interfere with the inaugural programme, would be the tone and purport of President Lincoln's address, in relation to the new "Slavery Confederacy," and its treasonable attitude and assumption. No such day of feverish anxiety was ever before passed by the American people. Fortunately, their suspense was not long continued. Before the sun went down, by the aid of electric or mysterious motion towards the factious Secessionists, and by a disposition at once to uphold the laws, and, as far as possible, to avoid the shedding of blood, it was with a sight of profoundest satisfaction and repose followed that day of darkness and disquietude, as though not a cloud rested upon the future!

The relief to the mind of Mr. Lincoln must have been immense. Of his manly courage, no one can doubt. But where the danger of sudden destruction is imminent, and yet hidden—where the foe skulks in the assassin, and aims to strike from behind, even the bravest must feel the pressure upon his nerves, far beyond that induced by the most sanguinary battle-field. It must be conceded, even by his bitterest opponents, that the new President has met the trying emergency with rare self-possession and equanimity.

Presenting to our readers his inaugural address in preceding columns, they will make their own criticism upon it, according to their standpoint.

In the first place, as a whole, it is commendable for its brevity. The state of the country would have warranted a much longer address; but Mr. Lincoln is no word-monger, and always has the conviction that His mind is clear and his style simple; so that he compresses into a single sentence what others would require much space to express.

Next—his argument against Southern Secessionists is compact and conclusive; for as they have no wrongs to complain of under the Constitution, and as they pretend to no conscientious scruples in regard to any of its provisions, they find no serious ground for their course, either on moral or political grounds, or in the martyr spirit which will not consent to unrighteousness. All their treasonable acts have been consummated under the administration of Mr. Buchanan, and yet no attempt was made to put out the revolutionary struggle of 1776. It has not been possible for the Republican party to do any wrong against the South, even if it had any disposition to do so, inasmuch as the reins of government have all along passed into its hands. To break up the Union on a pretence or a suspicion of foul play—perfidiously seize fortifications, arsenals, and millions of other property belonging to the United States—and commit treason against the Union, or use it for treasonable purposes—fire upon a national steamship, and endeavor to sink it, while obeying legitimate orders—strike down the American flag, and tear it in pieces, and trample it under foot—these are crimes of gigantic magnitude, which admit of no extenuation or atonement; and he who, intelligently conversant with them, does not brand them as the most heinous treason, is himself a traitor at heart, and doubly to be detested if he pretends to have any concern for the preservation of the Union.

No party was ever more loyal to the Constitution, as interpreted by the fathers of the nation, than the Republican party. So far from attempting to meddle, by legislation or otherwise, with slavery in the Southern States, it has not sought even the repeal of the Fugitive Slave law (which it might have right to repeal, if it had the right to enact), nor the abolition of slavery in the District of Columbia (over which the jurisdiction of Congress is absolute), but has confined itself to one issue—the non-territorial extension of slavery. In this struggle, the spirit of freedom has at last triumphed; but had it been otherwise—had Mr. Bell, or Mr. Douglas, or Mr. Breckinridge been elected, instead of Mr. Lincoln—there would have been no Northern secession—no factious resistance to the government—no plunder of public property—no trampling upon the national flag.

We volunteer this testimony in behalf of the Republican party as an act of justice, and not because we have any affiliation with it. Those who represent it as "Garrisonian" in its position or purpose, are guilty of a double calumny. Under the Constitution, it is willing to execute all the pro-slavery compromises of that instrument to the fullest extent; and here we take issue with it, as with the Democratic party. Our well-known motto is, "No Union with Slaveholders." The position of the Republican party on this subject, is very truthfully and most explicitly defined by Mr. Lincoln in his inaugural address. Wherein does it differ from that of the old Whig or the old Democratic party, so far as concerns the Constitution, the rights of property, or the recapture of fugitive slaves, or the suppression of slave insurrections, or the three-fifths representation, is concerned? As if this were not enough, the party, in Congress, has passed a law recognizing the right of each State to order and control its own domestic institutions, according to its own judgment exclusively"—goes out of its way to denounce the lawless invasion by an armed force of any State or Territory, no matter *under what pretext*, as the GRAVEST OF CRIMES"! This is a cruel stigma cast upon the memory of John Brown and his martyr associates at Harper's Ferry. What has the South to fear from such a party as this? And how can its enough furnish a shadow of justification for the rebellious movement of the seven confederated States, now in open hostility to the Union?

See what Mr. Lincoln says in his address—an address, remember! to be read by all the civilized world—respecting the thoroughly inhuman and most revolting business, the surrendering of fugitive slaves by the people of the North! After quoting the constitutional clause, he says:

"It is scarcely questioned that this provision was intended by those who made it for the reclaiming of what we call fugitive slaves, and *the intention of the lawgiver is the law.* All members of congress swear their support to the whole Constitution, *to this provision as much as to any other.* To the proposition, then, that slaves, whose cases come within the terms of this clause, shall be delivered up, their oaths are unanimous."

Very true, but such oaths are impious, and of no validity. Never mercy, or conscience, or even a bitter sense of shame, will induce a free man to aid in forcing a fugitive slave to the clutches of his master, is, in the sight of God, an accomplice in man-stealing. To this extent Mr. Lincoln and the Republican party are guilty. But, to equally shocked and outraged humane jurisprudence as he introduced, so that a free man be not in any way implicated in the crime of man-stealing, at the same time, to provide by law for the enforcement of a provision in the Constitution which guarantees that the citizens of each State shall be entitled to all the privileges and immunities of citizens in the several States!"

These safeguards of liberty ought indeed to be provided, in order to prove that a free man who in no case surrendered as a slave, but that no fugitive should ever be carried back to bondage. The right of one man to freedom is by creation and destiny the gift of God, and yet Mr. President Lincoln and his party claim to be protected than any of the hunted refugees in the Dismal Swamp. He seems to have no bowels of mercy, *under the Constitution*, for those who are seeking their liberty by flight, and who dare not stand in the way of commerce and sided on their way. He would modify the Fugitive Slave law (so he said before his nomination), but only to make its operation the more effectual! And yet he is the man—constitutionally—to execute the laws of the slaveholding States to revolt, and in hot haste withdraw from the Union! Surely, they must be desperately hard to "conciliate"! To Mr. Lincoln it is no objection that the Constitution enjoins the delivering up of the human chattel, and that obligation makes it "a covenant with death," to be given to the consuming fire. As if anxious to perpetuate the thraldom of the millions already in bondage, he unmistakably and fearlessly tells the Secession South that, in case of her withdrawal from the Union, "fugitives would not be surrendered at all by the North! Then let such a Union be dissolved to-day! Immediate secession! And when the fugitives ask—"All the protection which, consistently with the Constitution and the laws, can be given, will be cheerfully given to all the States when lawfully demanded, for whatever cause, as cheerfully to one section as another"—by those pregnant words, "*for whatever cause*," he means, beyond a peradventure, the suppression of any slave insurrection which provoke to the attempt to the struggling fugitives, as indeed provided in the Constitution, making it "an agreement with hell," and therefore to be annulled and repudiated. What a mockery is his language, in this view, when he says—"Intelligence, patriotism, Christianity, and a firm reliance on God, has hitherto never forsaken this favored land, are still competent to adjust in the best way all our present difficulties"! What moral confusion and darkness have we here! Mr. Lincoln claims no credit is due to him, in that he is anxious to have "the safeguards of liberty" provided for free colored persons; and, also, that he ventures to suggest, what no other President has yet done, for the relief of, though he does not disclose, the numberless and most revolting outrages that have been inflicted by the Southern barbarians upon Northern citizens guiltless of any offence), that "it might be well to provide by law for the enforcement of that provision in the Constitution which guarantees that the citizens of each State shall be entitled to all the privileges and immunities of citizens in the several States"! Is it possible that such a law has been enacted? That is possible. But if enacted, does he expect it will be enforced? That is impossible! Nothing in the Constitution or the laws ever has been enforced by the slave-driving oligarchy in opposition to their satanic will; and while their terrible system of blood and cruelty is allowed to exist, Northern citizens will continue to be scourged, imprisoned, murdered, and sent out from the South, in defiance of any law of Congress, any provision of the Constitution, to the contrary, notwithstanding!

Mr. Lincoln says—"A disruption of the Federal Union, heretofore only menaced, is now formidably attempted." Aside from arguing the illegality of secession, this is all he says of the treasonable and piratical conduct of the seceding States! A Southern confederacy of seven States, organized and in full operation, with a President, Vice-President, and other executive officers, and all the paraphernalia of independent government—with millions of stolen property in its hands—seizing upon nearly every fort, arsenal, and custom-house within its reach—and declaring an eternal separation—is simply described as "an *attempted* disruption of the Federal Union"—formidable indeed, but characterized by no more damning adjective, in one thing he is explicit—and less he could not say, in fidelity to his oath as President of the United States:

"I consider that, in view of the Constitution and the laws, the union of these States is perpetual, to the extent of my ability I shall take care of the Constitution itself enjoins upon me, that the laws of the Union be faithfully executed in all the States. Doubtless it does come to be only a simple duty on my part, and shall perform it so far as practicable, unless my hand is stayed by the necessity of employing the military requisition, or in some authoritative manner direct the contrary.

I trust this will not be regarded as a menace, but only as the declared purpose of the Union, that it will constitutionally defend itself. I think that there is no need of blood shed or violence, and there shall be none, unless it be forced upon the national authority. The power confided to me will be used to hold, occupy and possess the property and places belonging to the government, and collect the duties and imposts; but, beyond what may be necessary for these objects, there will be no invasion, no using of force against or among the people anywhere."

Now, this is high and the whole controversy. On this point, we care not whether there be a Union and a National government, as hitherto, or nothing but a dismembered confederacy. This is what the Southern traitors and their Northern accomplices impudently denounce as "coercion" and "a declaration of war"! Yes, the very party (Bell and Everett) that took for its motto, and ostentatiously flaunted it in the face of every one, before the election, "*The Union, the Constitution, and the Enforcement of the Laws*," now brands this doctrine as murderous in the extreme, and insists on allowing the traitors to have their own way—no matter what! Contemptible cowards! Rotten with hypocrisy, and inspired by a murderous malignity unexampled in the history of party strife! It wants the North to "conciliate" down to the subterranean depth of its degradation! But it does not want Mr. Lincoln to be the President of the United States; and it prefers the triumph of sedition and piracy at the South to "the Union, the Constitution, and the enforcement of the laws" under a Republican administration, after the pattern of Washington and Jefferson!

How is it possible that the President can be deluded into the belief that "there need to be no bloodshed or violence" in enforcing the laws "in all the States"? Does not the Southern confederacy stand ready to meet his first effort to execute those laws within its domains, by the bloody arbitrament of war on their part? And do not the border slave States stand in a bullying attitude, threatening to make common cause with the seceding States, if any "coercion" is attempted by the new administration? Either blood must flow like water, or Mr. Lincoln and the North must back down, and confess that the American Union is dissolved beyond the power of restoration. In vain does he beseechingly say to the traitors:

"In your hands, my dissatisfied [dissatisfied!] fellow-countrymen, and not mine, is the momentous issue of civil war. The government will not assail you. You can have no conflict, without being yourselves the aggressors. You have no oath registered in heaven to destroy the government, while I shall have the most solemn one to preserve, protect and defend it."

Will they give any heed to this? Will they not rather laugh his oath to scorn? Are they not hourly committing overt acts of war, and yet he says not one word against their aggressors in stealing the property and firing upon the flag of the country, instituting a hostile government, and arming themselves for a deadly

office and settle with him and begone about my business. There was then owing to me eighty-three dollars. Edward told me that he should not pay me more than five dollars for the work done in Memphis, and he should pay me anything or nothing, if he thought proper. He then told me that he would surely kill me. I was obliged to take it and go, for he presented a revolver at me, and swore he would shoot me unless I went about my business. After I had travelled about three-quarters of a mile, he overtook me and gave me another five dollars, which he said was enough to carry me to St. Louis, and, at the same time, he threatened, if I mentioned it to the neighboring town how I had been treated, I should be lynched to a certainty, for he should be there himself early the next morning. I got on board a boat bound up the river, the next day, in company with a gang of Irishmen, who, as they told me, had been cheated out of their pay in much the same manner.

"It would have been perfect madness for me to stay and attempt to collect the money due me. I had seen enough to know that any man charged with being an Abolitionist was certain to be hung or thrown into the river by lynch law; and there were Dyer's two brothers ready to swear, as they told me, that I certainly was an Abolitionist. Not long before I left, a planter had been robbed and murdered on the highway, and there came along on the levee where we were working a crowd of some forty ruffians, armed to the teeth, and accompanied by forty hounds, such as are used to track runaway negroes. They searched the cabin where I cooked, and inquired particularly after any strangers. Three hours afterward they returned with a white man whom they had caught, tied to the tail of a mule by a halter which had been tied around his neck. The mule travelled at a good round trot, and he had to keep on a run to save his life. I heard that they took him into the timber and half hung him to make him confess, and would have hung him outright but for a planter who persuaded them to wait till the next day, and give him a trial by jury. The very next day the real murderer was caught, and this innocent man was released."

"Rock Island, Feb. 27, 1861. JOHN JONES."

National Anti-Slavery Standard.

WITHOUT CONCEALMENT—WITHOUT COMPROMISE.

NEW YORK, SATURDAY, MARCH 16 1861.

Change of address will greatly oblige us by a careful observance of the following directions:

Letters enclosing matter for publication, or relating in any way to the editorial conduct of the paper, should be addressed, "EDITOR OF THE ANTI-SLAVERY STANDARD, No. 5 BEEKMAN STREET, NEW YORK."

Letters enclosing subscriptions, or relating in any way to the business of the office, should be addressed, "PUBLISHER OF THE ANTI-SLAVERY STANDARD, No. 5 BEEKMAN STREET, NEW YORK."

THE DANGER AHEAD.

A CRITICAL and anxious year opened upon the nation on the fourth of the current month. A year full of political crises and of business anxieties. But it is a year which had its harbingers and forerunners, which showed its coming to be inevitable. Within the limits of our audience we have not ceased to declare that such a season of trial must overtake, in due time, the hollow prosperity and false greatness of the nation. For that prosperity and that greatness were built upon the shifting sands of expediency and upheld by the fatal support of crime. The hollowness of our boasted prosperity and the falseness of our vaunted greatness have been proved in the sight of all the world by the swiftness with which they vanished at the presentation of the first test, with which they yielded to the first touch of pressure. The country was just well recovered from the financial disorder of 1857. Manufactures had revived, commerce was reestablishing herself, the West was recovering from the prostration consequent upon over-trading and feverish haste to become rich, all the elements of confidence and industry which could insure prosperity were at work to make the present year one of unusual prosperity, the *prestige* of the nation was never greater in the eyes of the world than it was in January, in the twinkling of an eye, all was changed, the gold of our winning turned to pebbles and dry leaves, like fairy favors, and the place we held in the admiration of the other nations vanished and known no more.

And what was the immediate cause of all this? Had inundations drowned our cornfields, or pestilence laid waste our cities? Had foreign invasion overrun the land, or civil seditions torn it asunder? Nothing of the kind. The people of the nation addressed themselves to the task of electing the Chief Magistrate whom it pleased them best should rule over them. All parts of the country united in the service and strove peacefully with one another to see which man the majority of the people would delight to honor. The urns closed upon the suffrages on the sixth of November, and when their lips were unsealed they proclaimed the decision of the general voice. There was no pretence of illegality or cheating. By the regular forms prescribed by public law, the President of the United States had been chosen by a large majority of electoral votes, and there seemed to be no reason why the machine that had worked for seventy years should be thrown out of gear at this time. Yet, as soon as the electric lightnings could flash the news over the South, one State in the shortest possible time withdrew herself from the Union, and her example was briefly followed by five or six more. In less than three months from the date of that memorable election, a new Confederacy was organized, with a President, a Cabinet, a Congress and a Debt, for all the world like her elder sisters in the family of nations. Because of this disturbance of the political elements the tranquil domain of commerce and industry has been shaken and its productiveness greatly diminished. Although the amount of suffering arising from this cause has been greatly overstated, for purposes of political effect, there can be no doubt that great distress and greater apprehensions have been occasioned by it.

And what was the pretence of this revolutionary movement? That a man had been chosen by the Northern States against the will and pleasure of the Southern States! Lying pretexts, to be sure, were invented for the purpose of stimulating revolutionary passions, such as that the new President was pledged to hinder the execution of the Fugitive Slave law and even to abolish slavery itself. But the leaders and the editors who spread these falsehoods knew better. Abraham Lincoln's record was not made up in a corner. He had scattered no ambiguous voices from the stumps of the West during his long public life. The platform on which he was elected contradicted them all. He had only to quote his own words, spoken long before, in his inaugural, to make his allegiance to the Fugitive Slave law as clear as that of Frank Pierce himself. The cunning and intelligent of the Secessionists, the Rhetts and the Hillards, admit that these pretences were merely pretexts, and that they have no apprehensions of unconstitutional (that is to say, of anti-slavery) action by the new President; but that his election has proved a disappointing of slavery to exist in the heart of the North, which must grow in time into something dangerous to that cherished institution. In other words, the slaveholders see that the sceptre of political power which they have swayed so long, through the union which their community of interest secured amongst themselves and the divisions which the diversity of interests causes among the non-slaveholders, was like to pass out of their hands. It was true that by the strength they still held directly and by their creatures from the North, they could hinder any nomination and block every scheme of policy of the new Administration. But, still, they saw that their rebellious white slaves at the North must either be scourged into surrender and submission to harder terms than ever before, or else they must be cut adrift and left to shift as well as they might by themselves.

Obviously, nothing could be baser and more despicable than this conduct of those States. Or, if anything, nothing but the humiliations with which their Northern slaves are ready to buy back the yoke their old masters had just jerked in a huff off their necks. It would seem as if they really felt, what it is assumed their black brethren of the plantations do, that they could not subsist without that beneficent mastership. Even some who bear the name of Republicans seem to be infected with this insane delusion, and to think that the return to power of those insolent taskmasters were a blessing cheaply purchased by the sacrifice of every principle they ever thought they had. The disturbance in the affairs of the country is attributed to Anti-Slavery instead of to Slavery, and the suppression of anti-slavery meetings is proposed as the remedy for pro-slavery outrages. Forgetful of the existence of the late Congress the whole power of the moneyed forces of the nation was brought to bear upon it to induce it to sign articles of capitulation which would be satisfactory to full-blown or budding traitors. The Peace Congress, the product of an unauthorized assembly which undertook to dictate to the real Congress what it should do, was but one of the methods employed for the dishonor of the country. Besides Union Meetings and Petitions swelled by fraudulent signatures, violence was openly threatened, a member of Congress actually attacked, and a scheme for the prevention of the Inauguration by force and bloodshed conceived and proclaimed as a part of the system of organized terrorism.

Notwithstanding all this, however, the Congress did stand its ground reasonably well. It did reject all the more offensive forms of compromise. The amendment to the Constitution, embodying the opinion of all but a very small though most respectable body of men, can hardly be accepted as a sufficient sacrifice by the offended powers. It should be resolutely resisted in the States on the ground that it gives a constitutional guaranty for one particular interest which it does not extend to any other, and that it does tie the hands of the people from abolishing slavery in this particular way, should any future time be ripe for it. Though, to be sure, it would only be untying their hands first, as they could as easily expunge this prohibition from the Constitution as they have put it in. Still, it should be resisted; but, at the same time, it should not be forgotten that these odd compromises are only scotched, not killed. They will join again and crawl hissing round the land, seeking whom they may devour. If the Seceders find, as is likely, that they have only fled to ills they knew not of, worse than those they had, and are disposed to wait for propositions from us, there will be no limit to the submissions we shall be urged to proffer. The assumption of all the debts of all the States and of the Southern Confederacy, and all the expenses of the Secession, and the full reinstatement of all the resigned and dismissed officers, from Twiggs downwards, is the very least that will be pressed upon us. The opening of all States as well as Territories to slavery, and the permission of slaveholders to bring and keep their slaves anywhere they like in the North as long as they please, will not seem too much to offer in return for pardon and protection. Unless matters should take so strange a turn, by the action of the Confederacy, as to make reconciliation impossible, the next nine months will be one incessant warfare upon the moral and political anti-slavery public sentiment of the North, to mould it to fresh subjection and new disgrace. Let all Republicans who regarded the late campaign as anything more than a mere office-seekers' scramble, as well as all Abolitionists, see to it that they are not used to make the reign of Lincoln more disastrous to the country than that of any President that has gone before him.

THE LATE CLEVELAND (OHIO) TRAGEDY.

POOR LUCY! How she exulted when she found herself in Cleveland! Here she expected protection, guidance, encouragement. Her rights as a member of the human family and as a woman, she could not doubt, would here be respected. In the refuge here afforded, she might, unmolested, enjoy "life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness." Why should she tempt the waters of the lake? Was she not now in the midst of a people who regarded human nature with complacency and reverence, under whatever forms and in whatever circumstances the presence might be manifested? Was she not surrounded by those who, on various occasions and with thundering emphasis, had proclaimed their abhorrence of American slavery; who had openly professed a warm sympathy with, a yearning compassion for, its victims? With what home-like feelings did she not here look around her—every face she saw beaming in her eyes with the spirit of dear humanity! Surely here she was more than safe—she was blessed!

Poor Lucy! She little dreamed, amidst the work and wages which were afforded her, that she was here exposed to treachery, alike cowardly and murderous. She never once thought—poor woman, how *could* she?—that Cleveland had become a Gibeah, and any white man she met an Ephraimite * "sojourning in Gibeah." She had never suspected that the religious sects and the political parties could, like Herod and Pilate when a Jesus was to be crucified, unite in offering her, a deeply wronged and utterly friendless sister, as a burnt offering on the altar of compromise! That even the Republicans, putting themselves in the midst of their triumphs "on their good behavior," would spill her blood to quench the burning wrath of thrice accursed tyranny!

Poor Lucy! While occupied with her homely tasks, recognized by those around her as a member of the human family, she little thought that a *woman-stealer* was prowling on her track, scenting his hallowed prey with more than bloodhound keenness and eagerness! A woman-thief, fresh from the great National Brothel, setting his hounds upon his nets in *Cleveland, Ohio!* How came the heartless villain to make Cleveland his hunting-ground? How durst he here avow his murderous purposes—pursue here his damned designs? Oh, he had learned something of the petted name which the professed friends of humanity in Cleveland had selected as their grand representative before the world. He was no stranger to the revolting avowals which Abraham Lincoln had the stupidity or the hardihood to obtrude upon his fellows—avowals which, in a lurid light, illustrate what he made of the human chattels he had formed, the objects he pursued. The craven creature refused to acknowledge, practically, any essential difference between a man as a man and the dog as a dog, which he claimed as his property! In his view, the thrice-accursed Fugitive Slave bill was clothed with the majesty of law—was to be obeyed in its murderous demands! Of course, he regarded HUMANITY as a fit sacrifice for the bloody altar of the Union! Why should not a woman-thief, *as a woman-thief*, visit a people who could degrade themselves to such depths of absurdity and infamy as to elevate such a creature as their representative—their representative and champion—amidst their gravest relations and highest interests? Why should he not thus test their professed loyalty to the South, their readiness to sacrifice truth, justice, humanity—anything hallowed in heaven and beautiful on earth—to a most devilish conspiracy? For such an object, he came in open day—head up—tramping stoutly along the streets—his rat's eyes peering around in every nook and corner in Cleveland! He saw that he was no unwelcome visitant! He found himself among those, of different sects and parties, who were eager to display their smutty patriotism—who were ready with the blood of helpless innocence to propitiate the favor of blackguards and cutthroats, anarchists and traitors, men-stealers and women-ravishers! He was so gratified with the reception, so respectful and fraternal, with which HIMSELF AND HIS MISSION were here honored!

Poor Lucy! And so she was seized, in *Cleveland, Ohio*, by legalized robbery and violence, and hurried away before a tribunal which was thrice dead to the authority of God and the rights of man. Here she stood, friendless, helpless, forlorn—A WOMAN, and, as a woman, representing all other women, representing all the mothers, and sisters, and wives, and daughters, under heaven. In that dark hour—in that frightful condition—denied and forsaken by all her fellows who could afford her sympathy and protection, *all our mothers and sisters, all our wives and daughters, lived in her and she in them.* Their rights and hers were identical. The hand which assailed her assailed them; the indignities and injuries which were inflicted on her, fell with undiminished weight on them. *She was a fair test of the regard of all around her* FOR WOMAN, *universally, wherever and however she might urge her claims on their attention:* of the regard of sons for their mothers, of brothers for their sisters, of husbands for their wives, of fathers for their daughters. To consent to her surrender to the villain who claimed her as his property, was to consent that woman, universally, all mothers and sisters and wives and daughters, should be *place upon precisely the same footing* with the Kentucky *brothel*. So the matter stood—*must stand* in the unsciousness of every thoughtful man—*stand on the long and hallowed beds of pain*, from Maine to Oregon. Let the craven wretches think of this, who cave up poor Lucy—*whatever they up they did*—to the "tender mercies of the priest"—who, on the very ground on which Jesus of Nazareth was fastened to the cross, threw her back to the big brothel whence she had escaped. Let them think of this, as think of this assuredly they will when they appear before the bar of truth and justice—when they are forced to give an account of themselves to Lucy's omnipotent and ever-wakeful Father. The price poor Lucy was given up for the freedom of her oppressor was fixed by her master as his chattel, "then did you phemously demand her as full down, and BLOODY TRUTH triumphed over us"! Then was the "Son of God grieved afresh," and with aggravations most marked and flagrant! For would not He have preferred the cross, a thousand times inflicted, to the horrible fate of poor Lucy? Here I may pause and take breath before more to offer on this heart-breaking theme.

BENJ. GREENE.

* Judges xix. 22-24.

JEWISH SERVITUDE NOT AMERICAN SLAVERY.

THE JEWISH PRO-SLAVERY DIVINE SUSPENDED.

To the Editor of the National Anti-Slavery Standard.

I AM sure that you and every good Israelite (Jew) as well as every good *Go-e* (Gentile) must have been surprised and mortified in reading the sermon preached by Dr. Raphall on the late fast day, in which he declares slavery to be no sin, and that it is sanctioned by the (*to-rah*) law of God. This statement no pious *Yi-hoodee* or *Go-e* in Europe or America will believe. I would not have noticed the sermon, but some of my intimate and learned friends called my attention to it, and wished my remarks to be sent to you for publication.

First, then, the doctor has begun wrong and ended wrong. He condemns Henry Ward Beech (sinner) for the very thing which he has—that is, for blending politics with religion. If he is to be condemned for reprobating slavery in the pulpit, the doctor is equally guilty for advocating it there. But to come to the point on slavery. The doctor traces it up to Ham, the third son of Noah. *Eevad*, which he improperly calls *ne-vaid*, he renders "a slave," but erroneously such, for it never means a slave in our sense of the word, but a servant, hired man, soldier, or an apprentice. It is applied to Abraham, Isaac and Jacob; to Moses and Aaron, Caleb and Joshua, David and Daniel, Isaiah, Jeremiah and Ezekiel, and to *Mashe-ach* himself (Isa. iii, 13); and surely they were not slaves, but free persons.

2. The learned rabbi has made a sad mistake when he says *e-vaid* means a slave, and *e-vaida*, slave. This is a noun in the genitive case, governed by the preposition "of" following the singular noun, which is in the construct form. If, then, one means a slave, so the other, in the plural form, means slaves, and he, Ham, was a slave of slaves—either of slave parents, or he was only to be the slave of his two brethren, Shem and Japheth—"a servant of servants shall he be to his (two) brethren." The conclusion, therefore, must be that either his parents or brethren were slaves, or that he was owned by slaves, and these were his own two brothers! Gen. ix. 25.

3. The doctor has made another mistake. He has not looked at the grammatical construction of the text. Ham is a proper noun, singular number, and the curse (if any) is confined to him alone, and not to his posterity: "A servant of servants shall he be." And this curse never came on his posterity, for the Canaanites were never in slavery. And recollect, this is neither a prophecy nor a curse from God; but simply a reproof from Noah to his son because he laughed at his folly in drinking too freely of wine without knowing the strength of it. Taking the various meanings—to bless, reprove, admonish, speak evil of; and be cursed, rendered bless, means to praise, speak well of, "curse." Job ii. 9. That the curse of slavery never came on Ham or his posterity is beyond all doubt; but it certainly came on the posterity of Shem and Japheth, for they were in bondage many years in Babylon, in Asia, and in Europe; so that the curse was turned into blessing, and the blessing into a curse. The doctor forgotten also that the sins of the fathers were to be extended to the children "of the third or fourth generations"; so that the curse could not be extended to Ham's children beyond that period.

The doctor and his pro-slavery brethren Ham *to have been a black man*; this is a mere idea, for *all* his posterity were white—that Phœnicians or Canaanites and Egyptians—they were a dark brown color, but not black; it is the climate not the curse of Ham that changes the complexion of man; this is confirmed by Livingstone. In his late Researches in Africa, he states that in the low and hot country, the inhabitants are *perfectly black*, and in the high sandy districts *almost white*. But we have this assurance from the Bible, that "God made of one blood all the nations of men that dwell on the face of the earth." Acts xvii. 26.

There is no distinction whatever in the Church of Christ between the rich and the poor, the bond and the free—we are all one in Christ Jesus. Gal. iii. 28. And even if he was black, all, yet, he was the servant of all, and stooped so low as to wash his disciples' feet. The pro-slavery bishops, ministers and members of Churches are at a great loss for arguments and examples to prop up their rotten system of slavery, when they refer to the case of Hagar having been sent back to Sarah as a *runaway* slave. They have forgotten that she was Abraham's lawful wife (Gen. xvi. 4) and all her posterity born free and never were in bondage to any man" (verse 12). The Ishmaelites are all freemen to this day, but to put the matter beyond doubt, Paul declares that the whole transaction was only a type of the two covenants, "which is an *allegory*, in which one thing is said and another intended—a thing not literal but spiritual (Gal. iv. 23, 24).

4. Does the *Ko-dish-kashon* (holy, inspired Scriptures) sanction slavery? We say, No; it condemns it in every part of it; neither God, his Word, or his people ever sanctioned it. "It is the vilest of all sins." God condemned it in Egypt, and destroyed all the first-born in it, both man and beast, because of it; and "he is the same yesterday, to-day, and forever, and he changeth not." What was sinful then is sinful now in his estimation, and he cannot look on sin with any degree of allowance.

Some persons who are ignorant of the Holy Scriptures in the original (Hebrew) language, assert that *forever*, in Lev. xxv. 46, means *perpetual* or *everlasting*, but all the wise and learned Rabbins among the Jews, in all ages, refer *la-o-lam* to the year of jubilee, "when liberty was to be proclaimed to all the inhabitants of the land, Jew and Gentile, bond and free." Lev. xxv. 10-15. *O-lam* means *limited* duration when applied to temporal things. Gen. xiii. 15; xlix. 26.—and unlimited only when it refers to the Deity, or his works. Ps. civ. 5. Surely "the everlasting hills," the sacrifices, priests, incense and ordinances of the Jewish temple were not to last forever, and yet they are called "everlasting."

The Jewish law was such that if a person wished to hire a man for more than six years, he had to hire him from among the heathen to the year of jubilee, perhaps ten, fifteen, or twenty years hence. But it was *to be voluntary service*, the master agreed to treat him as his own son, and to give him an heir in his stead. The law was made in writing, and he was then called a *bond* or a BOUND man, and he pledged himself to become a proselyte to the Jewish religion, as there was but one law for the master and the servant, and if he pleased his master he might finally *become his son-in-law*, Prov. xxix. 21, Exodus xxi. 9. But the Wiseagens have forgotten that both Jew and Gentile, servants and bondmen, were under the one law, so that if the Hebrew servant and his whole family went out free in the jubilee, so must the Gentile or the bondman also. Here, then, is an incontrovertible argument.

5. We now come to the law and the testimony. The servant who ran away from his (heathen) master was not to be restored to him again. See Deut. xxiii. 15; xxiv, 14. Again: "He who stealeth a man, and he is whose possession he is found, shall both be put to death," Exod. xxi. 16, so that the receiver is considered as bad as the (*ga-nav*) thief. See Jer. (*Ye-ra-me-ah*) xxxiv. 8-22. The people and princes who entered into this compact were cursed and cut off, or taken captive and sent into bondage. Zedekiah had his eyes thrust out and all his children hanged on a tree as a just retribution for the sin of not proclaiming liberty to Jew and Gentile, the former at the end of six years, the latter at the year of jubilee, for these are two distinct characters spoken of here—(*a-hiv*) a brother Hebrew, and (*le-ra-i-kov*) a heathen proselyte. Liberty was to be proclaimed to both; but there was jubilee or liberty for either saint or sinner, minister or member of a Church, among the poor troublesome slaves of free America. Their case is hopeless indeed. In Scripture there was but one law for Jew and Gentile proselyte; they were both to be taught the Holy Scriptures, well fed, well clothed, and used well; and if the master disabled him in any degree, even to the loss of a tooth, he was to be set at liberty. Exod. xxi. 27. If this law were in force in the slave States, a vast many slaves there would, at this moment, be entitled to their liberty.

To make the case still more clear, the fast that God requires us to break every yoke and let the oppressed go free, Isaiah lviii. 6, 7; and it was prophesied of *Mashe-ach* that he should "proclaim liberty to the captives, and the opening of the prison to them that were bound." Isaiah lxi. 1. But Joel is still more clear: Tyre, and Sidon, and the people on the coast of Palestine sold the children of Judah and Jerusalem to the Grecians for slaves, and God declares that he would call them off as a nation for doing it, and that he would cause them to be returned to their own land again. Joel iii. 5-7. Finally, the learned Rabbins assert that in *Ma-she-ach's* (Messiah's) day there will be a new law, a new Sabbath, and new sacrifices; and in the New Jerusalem there will be neither sin, Satan, nor slavery. What does my learned friend think of this statement?

6. The doctor travels out of the Old Testament into the New to prove that both Jews and Christians tolerated slavery and held slaves. If they did, it was without authority from God or his word, and he cannot be either a pious Jew or Christian who is a slaveholder; but infidels of both sects have done it. But as the doctor does not believe in the New Testament, why does he bring it to prop up his tottering system of slavery? Well, he refers to the old and worthless argument of Paul sending back Onesimus to Philemon, "to serve out his apprenticeship to the tent-making business." (*See Tertullian.*) But the doctor has made another mistake, Onesimus was a slave, and had run away from his master, Paul, as a Jew, who knew the law of Moses, was bound to accept every proscript of it, could not, according to that law, return him to his master. Finally, to put this matter to rest, he was Philemon's own brother in the flesh, and surely as such he could not, and would not, as a pious Christian, hold him as a slave. Phil. xvi.

7. Slavery is either holy or unholy, and surely no man in his senses will say it is holy; therefore it must be unholy, and God must hate it, for he cannot look on sin with the least degree of allowance. And if Adam and Eve had not sinned, slavery could never have existed in the world. Sin, therefore, is the cause of slavery, and the devil is the author of sin; and Christ, St. Paul declares, "came to destroy the works of the devil," and in the final restitution of all things, sin, slavery, and death will be utterly destroyed.

8. We assert, without fear of contradiction, that *doulos*, in either the Old or New Testaments, never means *a slave* in any sense of the word, but simply a servant, hired man, or an apprentice, such as Onesimus. Slave is not to be found in the Holy Scriptures. In Jer. ii. 14, slave in the text is in italics, and not in the original. And in Rev. xviii. 13, slaves is not in the Greek text, but simply *amaton*, bodies of men. Mystic Babylon was destroyed because she made merchandise of the souls and bodies of men.

9. If, as the learned Rabbi asserts, it means a slave, Christ and his Church, his ministers and members, were all slaves; but Paul declares they were the Lord's freed men. 1 Cor. vii. 2. And in the next verse, as they were free, he exhorts them not to become the servants of men. And yet he calls himself *doulos Christou*, the servant (not the slave) of Christ. For Christ came to break every yoke and let the oppressed go free." *Doulos* occurs in Luke ii. 29; John xii. 26; 1 Peter ii. 16; Rev. i. 1.

Hence *Simon Petros doulos kai apostolos Iesou Christou*—Simon Peter, a servant and an apostle of Jesus Christ. 2 Peter i. 1; see James i. 1; Jude 1. We ask, then, Were these holy persons slaves or freemen? All heaven and all earth will answer, They were the Lord's freemen.

10. Finally and triumphantly, if *aroor* means a curse, and that curse the curse of slavery, then Adam and Eve and all their posterity are slaves, for alas! some degree of this curse is pronounced on all of them. And as to *doulos*, it is misapplied by almost all writers on the subject, to put a slave among slaveholders, and a servant, the same as prophet among Christians and Jews, slaves in the free states, and in the Constitution, human beings.

ROY.

COMPROMISE THE CORRUPTER.

AN EXAMPLE FROM HISTORY.

A sermon by Rev. HENRY T. CHEEVER, at Jewett City, Feb. 17, 1861.]

THESE thoughts on the *need of patience, that, after ye have done the will of God, ye might receive the promise*, have a bearing, at the present time, upon the great work of the Church, viz., the subjugation of the world to Christ, and upon the probability of a swift or slow accomplishment of that work. They bear also upon the condition of our country at the present time. They give us encouragement as to what we may expect from God; and they show us what we have to do ourselves, as Christian brethren, in order that we may truly cooperate with God.

Our duty is, first, to assert the right and then stand to it patiently, without faltering or compromise; and, second, to see to it that those who represent us in the Congress and the Cabinet of the nation know our mind and regard it. The only real danger of the times lies in compromise, a term honest enough in itself, but so employed by political and ecclesiastical jugglers of this day, and by frightened Union-savers, that more is meant by it than meets the ear. Mutual concession on both sides is legitimately implied in its use, but virtual surrender of all on one side alone is meant. Now, our text tells us that we have need, not of compromise, but of patience, that we may receive the promise and gain the victory.

There is a very instructive passage for the people of God in this country, and for all true patriots at the present time, in the history of the Reformation in Germany. At the great Diet of Augsburg, fifteen years from the beginning of the Reformation, Philip Melanchthon was put forward as leader. The pomp and power of Rome confronted him there. Its doctors argued, its legates and princes threatened, and the Emperor of Germany was marshalling all his formidable forces against the Reformation.

In such a crisis, with civil and religious freedom both at stake, Melanchthon quailed and compromised, just as many of the political leaders in our country seem about to do with slavery. Melanchthon, to give up, virtually, all that the Reformation had won by its noble protest, viz., the right of private judgment, if the Pope, pardoning something to the spirit of liberty, would only grant two privileges—marriage to the clergy, and the wine as well as wafer to the laity. Just as it is now proposed to save the Union by only yielding the two "unimportant abstractions" of allowing slavery to go into the Territories on equal terms with freedom, and allowing slaveholders the friendly hospitality of transit through the free States with "their domestic institution."

The Reformation would have been betrayed, and all that had been gained in fifteen years of heroic struggle would have been irretrievably lost, when the approval of Luther was asked to the misnamed compromise; and now, hear the uncompromising hero, with the pluck and intrepidity without which let no man ever be put forward as a leader in Church or State: "What shall we concede? you ask. For my part, too much has been conceded already. We are to give up everything, it seems, to our adversaries nothing! Rather than consent to such a treason, I would myself come to Augsburgh, and be the John Huss of that Diet. Reconcile Luther and the Pope, and I will undertake to effect a compromise between God and the devil!"

This uncompromising attitude of Luther, and one thing beside, saved the Reformation. The thing beside was this: The Papal party to the compromise, encouraged by the timid and yielding temper of some of the Reformers, proceeded just to kidnap the leaders of Southern secession with their Northern allies in our times. They boldly threw off the mask and said they meant SURRENDER, and nothing else. They could, after all, concede neither the cup to the laity, nor marriage to the clergy, and, so history tells us, the abortive attempt at compromise ended. The Reformation sprang to its feet once more, and strode forward on its victorious

I notice that, in the last number of THE STANDARD, you allude to the tremendous crosier, for humanity for over Mr. Lincoln's selection of Gov. Chase to be a member of the Cabinet. It is scarcely possible to exaggerate the importance of the conclusions of this struggle or its importance to humanity. Thurlow Weed was undoubtedly the ablest of the opponents of Mr. Chase, and Mr. Greeley, who favored the appointment in favor of Chase's appointment, has been very bitterly reproached to have done anything to do it. Now, I know Mr. Lincoln's business to be good and honest, and I know that it was the Bunker Hill battle between the Republican conservatives in the Republican party. This battle is not merely battle did not settle the contest, but it will go on, for weeks and months, until one side or the other gains the complete control of the Republican party. Mr. Lincoln is inclined to play off one side against the other, and so long as he retains this position he is very difficult to ascertain his own views and wishes. *The Tribune* is the advocate and organ of the Republican wing: *The Times* of the conservatives, and the Albany *Evening Journal* is the organ of the Lincoln clique, the rest are all firm old Democrats and anti-Republicans.

As I close this letter, there are strange rumors circulating about Washington concerning Fort Sumter. It is said that Major Anderson will soon abandon that post, and that its further occupation is pronounced impossibility. From what I hear, I judge that these rumors are founded upon fact. Anderson has only provisions enough to last him two or three weeks at the furthest. Military men of the highest rank and skill assert that the batteries of the South Carolinians are sufficient to prevent the entire American Navy from relieving the fort or throwing supplies into it. There is but one way to do it, and that is to land an army and march it to the relief of the garrison. It would require 25,000 men to do this, General Scott says—and he says that such army at our command. So look out for the desertion of Fort Sumter at an early day. Afterwards may not Douglas understand the policy of the Administration? If Mr. Lincoln *means* to do anything, he will call Congress together. If he remains quiet, of course he will be understood as favoring a peaceable settlement of the question.

AVON.

* We have heard, on the contrary, that Mr. Seward exert his personal influence to prevent the appointment of Chase, and that "Old Abe" felt offended at what he implied a purpose to be President himself, and that he was second fiddle to his Secretary of State.—*Ed. Nat. Anti-Slavery Standard.*

Our Boston Correspondence.

Confessions from Boston by an Ordinary Man.

NO. IV.

THE FUNERAL.—The autopsy of the autograph.—How the disease developed.—The genuine germs the Genius.—A young—The missionary at the dinner party.—New relics of authorship.—The editor entreated.—A letter of a friend.

BOSTON, March 11, 1861.

I WONDER if that autograph fever has assumed in the metropolis the fatal malignancy with which it rages in our Puritan suburb! The ruin of the country, the paralysis of trade, the stagnation of State street, seem only to increase the buoyancy of this fancy stock. The spring jobbing is as lively as ever, and extra novelties blossom from strength to strength of impudence. Pray, do pale-faced young gentlemen hover about your office, bearing fancy covered books entitled, in all letters, "Signatures of My Country's Gifted Sons," or "Life Mottoes by Illustrious Thinkers," or something of the sort? Are you continually adjured to make a fool of yourself by writing your name in attestation of some indisputable scrap of morality in these precious volumes? I don't say that my personal annoyance from such spasmodic gentry is at present very serious; but this fact, you will observe, only redounds to the glory of my disinterestedness in visiting their sins upon with a mild protest. I have several good friends, however, some of them ordinary men like myself, who suffer daily depletion from these literary leeches. This book business is a late refinement upon those letters demanding an autograph which every American citizen who is elected, or isn't elected, to anything, is sure to receive. One can't be chosen militia colonel, or elaborate the Socinians, in peace and quietness! The moment a bubble-blower suggests that you are gasping near the surface of the stagnant waters, these fellows hurl their paper life-preservers at the spot where you are expected to appear. The damnable iteration and indiscriminate character of their applications have deprived them of the slightest flavor of compliment; they are simply unmitigated bores!

Let us consider the matter rationally. No doubt the propensity of human nature to gather up the cast clothing of heroes is implanted for good and wise purposes. A genuine collection of autograph letters may do no better service than to gratify idle curiosity. Get the genuine letter of a hero (real or sham) addressed to his valet, be it only about the disposition of certain gold and silver spoons, and it shall furnish important illustration to his published treatises on the Silences, Absolute and Eternities. For instance, in a very interesting collection belonging to a gentleman of this city, shall knowledge and taste eliminate all trash, we find a scrawl sent by Mr. Webster across the Senate to a speaker who was accusing him of forgetting certain circumstances: "*I never forgot anything.*" D. W." The scrap of paper which bore this flash of concentrated sarcasm that first wrote itself. Observe the dash that the statesman has driven through the word "slavery," and how carefully he has interlined "a different type." Indeed, how that poet struck out the "labor" and "slavery" stigma, bold as the vice it rebukes, and substituted the last meretricious Gallicism of Dumas. Such are genuine autographs of times and men, and we may study them to great profit. But what have those to do with a gentleman crossing his t's and dotting his i's, in particular request, in a ruled copy book? No autograph that is worth anything can be written in an album, or sent in answer to an application, and a demand for such things carries the courtesy of asking a compliance or refusal equally awkward.

Certainly, there may be occasional instances of such perfection that all good men would treat with reverence. All allowance for that sentimental fever of extreme youth, when we select our heels and sighs reverence whatever he has touched or trifled with. It is better for us never to have had mumps or measles than wholly to have escaped this moral disease. Let your boy has chosen Mr. Garrison Mr. Emerson or Mr. Hillard, as the object of his enthusiasm, and I would be made happy by a line that one of them could write him, let him have his desire and go in peace. But these innocent collectors of whom I speak are not those who devour newspaper notoriety, nor are they of the band of the martyr miraculously inspired and adjured to write a name in their delectable volumes, while the gentleman who lights the fire, and fills the pipe, who carries the faggots, can in no way operate. They have made their marks in the same receptacle, succeeding pages the autographs of Mr. Emerson and Mayor Wightman. Now, while it is evident that both these gentlemen may have a genuine circle of admirers, it is also evident that the collector who went from one to the other was an intruder upon each. A certain newspaper gossip is connected with both; and this was all that the collector seemed to have been capable of appreciating. Barnum's "What is it?" and the "Double-headed Calf," appear likewise in the journals, and are honored with editorial notice; and a little inking of the paws or hoofs of these latter curiosities would doubtless, be just as valuable, if it could be obtained gratis. To our fallen natures, however, imposing upon a gentleman seems to be more interesting than paying a showman. I am told that these books, after securing

Our Washington Correspondence.

WASHINGTON, March 11 1861.

NOTWITHSTANDING the fact that the Inaugural Address is pronounced to be plain and direct, incapable of a double meaning, there seems to be a very decided disagreement as to what it means. Mr. Douglas says it means peace—no coercion—compromise. Mr. Trumbull, on the other hand, understands that it means the execution of the laws. Well, when the doctors disagree, who shall decide the point in dispute? I believe the Address is capable of two constructions: Mr. Douglas makes one, and Mr. Trumbull the other. Mr. Lincoln will act according to circumstances—this I have from well act according to circumstances—this I have from the highest authority. If the state of the country is such as to render a resort to coercive measures imprudent, they will not be resorted to. But if the temper of the country will bear a pretty decided demonstration of the power of the government, that demonstration will be made.

But *how*, and *when*? There's the rub. It is very difficult for the Executive, in the condition of the seceded States, to interfere. There is nobody who will aid him there in the execution of the laws. He cannot legally employ the troops into a seceded State to execute the laws there. So, too, in reference to the collection of the revenues at Charleston, or any other port of the rebellious States. *How*, in the absence of the necessary laws, can Mr. Lincoln collect the revenues? Nobody can show how he can do it—he cannot do it. Whenever the Administration is ready to commence this business, the new Congress must be called together and laws must be passed to authorize the Chief Executive to collect the revenues on board a man of war, or to abolish Charleston and other Southern cities as ports of entry.

The probable course which the Southern Confederacy will pursue, so far as it can be gathered from the traitors still left in Washington, is as follows: the Commissioners will soon ask an interview with President Lincoln. He will receive them as citizens, not as Commissioners. They will return to Montgomery, and General Jefferson Davis will order the supplies to be withheld from Major Anderson. In eight weeks he must receive fresh supplies or capitulate. The government cannot send him supplies without a tremendous sacrifice of life. The issue will, in this way, be forced upon this government, unless the hotheads have their way, and make an immediate attack upon Fort Sumter. This is not likely. A Charlestonian, a well known Secessionist, and fresh from Traitordom, informs me that the plan I have indicated above is the one understood at the South to be the wisest for the rebels to pursue.

There is considerable speculation as to Mr. Douglas's course since Inauguration day. He is evidently moving up towards his rival, and it is thought by some that he will defend the new Administration in most of its measures. At the Inauguration Ball he was conspicuous in his attentions to Mr. and Mrs. Lincoln; he has defended the Inaugural Address in two or three days in the Senate, against the attacks of Wigfall, Clingman and Mason; and there are street rumors of other things which look somewhat like a union of the Douglas men with the Conservative or Seward wing of the Republican party. Mr. Douglas has great hopes of dividing that party into two parts, and if he can succeed in his purpose, he will gladly form an alliance with the Seward wing. But it seems to me that Mr. Douglas's elaborate defence of the Inaugural can easily be accounted for. He took this course adroitly, to get the good will of the Republican people of the North, and to make the Republicans believe that Mr. Lincoln does not intend to enforce the laws, but will follow in the footsteps of his predecessor! It is an insidious attempt to drive the Administration into the adoption of Mr. Douglas's nonresistant theory of government. I will not do the New England school of non-resistants the injustice to compare Mr. Douglas with them. He is only for peace with slaveholders. He would execute the Fugitive Slave law with the entire army, if necessary, but, if he could, would forego coercion in the free States. So far as they are concerned, it is a miserable donghface cry for the benefit of slave-masters.

I have just received a very well written argument in favor of the right of secession, from the pen of Rev. George W. Bassett, formerly anti-slavery preacher in Washington, and the only *Abolitionist* who ever occupied a pulpit in this city. He argues very eloquently for "peaceable secession," believing not only in the right of a State to secede from the Union, but also that secession ought to be made by

[illegible] of passengers to immortality, are [illegible] speak with authority.

[illegible] on too hard on those ingenious contrivances. They have a ghastly sort of fascination about them, after all. It is entertaining to see the different [illegible] of our fellow-citizens, caged as happy familiarities, by various exhibitors. The rabble of antagonistic [illegible] that the Museum people pen up together [illegible] diversal in but an occasional correspondence of [illegible] sublime verities. There are several standard [illegible] fatty fathers who are served up at every [illegible] season of the banquet. Of these, a distinguished politician, who of late years has been allowed the retirement of private life, is perhaps the favorite. After [illegible] are generally certain Doctors of good Union [illegible] in using the Scriptures concerning Onesimus. [illegible] teachers of election sermons, and the like. Among [illegible] Mr. Longfellow is, of course, the most popular [illegible] though, apparently, not always the easiest to procure, as his contributions are often seen in other exhibitions. Mr. Longfellow is, of course, the most popular [illegible] in a highly irregular manner. The filling up is done by dilettanti in various departments of art, whose [illegible] is probably yet on its way to your metropolis. [illegible] Rights are respected, and the ladies allowed [illegible] to very mixed society. Important statues [illegible] are conspicuously, often absent from these pantheons. [illegible] seldom meets Emerson or Hawthorne, and I have always searched in vain for a fragment of the black [illegible] that sets the pearl we rescued from before your [illegible]. When I make up my collection, I shall [illegible] you to get for me the manuscript of one of those Tribune letters you are discerning enough to [illegible]. And, by the way, when you get, for the use of [illegible] who are in your service in this city, that Bureau of Correspondence which is one day to adorn a corner [illegible] our Back Bay lands, I pray you to retrench a little in gilding and mahogany, and keep a man in the basement to write our autographs and have his hair cut!

I don't know that I have much else to say this week. By the terms of our agreement, you remember, I am not expected to utter any news, or to chronicle the contemporaneous history of that movement so near your heart. Yet is done by pens worn in the service, as well as by those yet refusing the means of grace you offer. Many are moving and thinking with you, who call themselves by other names. Years ago, the prophetic voices saluted you with the "All hail!" of the good Banquo.

"Thou shalt get kings, though thou be none!"

And ambitious men have found that it was but for your sense they did their minds; and their eyeballs are seared, as *The Tribunes, Independents*, and the rest of your children, bearing globes and sceptres, pass over the stage of to-day. If you come last in the procession, it is only to give terrible meaning to the pageant, by smiling, and pointing to them as your own!

Our Philadelphia Correspondence.

PHILADELPHIA, March 11, 1861.

Our anti-slavery operations have been, in a measure, suspended by the intense and all-pervading excitement of the political world. All eyes have been turned westward. The proceedings at Washington have been watched with feverish interest. The people have wanted nothing but news. They have had no relish for meetings, no desire to hear speeches. "What's the last news?" has been the question on everybody's lips. "When do you hear from Washington? What is the news from Harrisburg? Will the compromise go through? Anything fresh from Charleston? Will the Gulf States stay out now they are out—and are we finally rid of them? Will the border States follow them?" These and such like questions have been asked on every hand, and it has taken full half of an official anti-slavery man's time to answer them; the other half being required—and that has not been sufficient—to get the means of answering them.

It has been a glorious time for the newspaper men. Whatever other kind of business may have been dull, that of the daily journals has flourished "like the green bay tree." The first thing a man asks for, when he gets out of bed, is the morning paper. At noon, he wants to know if *The Tribune* has arrived; after dinner, unable to wait for the carrier, he sends out for the first edition of *The Bulletin*. A glance at the telegraphic column of these papers may satisfy him at the time; but he must then all home with him to read every word and ponder fully every editorial, communication and letter that pertains to the all-absorbing subject. It has not been the most profitable, nor is it a very satisfactory way of spending one's time, and people at last are getting tired of it; but for awhile, any other kind of occupation was well nigh impossible. It amounted almost to a mania; it pervaded all classes. Such an avidity for newspaper reading I never before saw or heard of.

In this wrought-up, nervous state of the public mind, it has been difficult to hold anti-slavery meetings, or to interest the people in the aspects of the subject which most usually claim the attention of Abolitionists. Still we have not been altogether idle. We have held meetings, and have carried on—though in diminished measure—the usual operations.

But the time has come to buckle on anew our armor and go to work with more energy. Congress has adjourned; the Border State Conference has adjourned; the Legislature at Harrisburg is nearly ready to adjourn; President Lincoln is inaugurated; the new Administration is fairly installed; the Southern Confederacy is also an accomplished fact; and there is nothing more to keep us on the *qui vive*.

Let us be thankful that Congress arose without compromising away any free Territory. Let us be "jolly" —in the true spirit of Mark Tapley—that the "*Peace* Conference" did so little mischief. Let us, as Pennsylvanians, felicitate ourselves—it is not often that we have the chance, and the opportunity may not last long—that our Legislature has been deaf to all the appeals made by the cotton, iron and general dry goods interests to induce them to make the business of slave-catching a trifle less odious. Let us remember, for our encouragement, and as a stimulus to renewed effort, that it is to the anti-slavery sentiment of the State, produced by faithful anti-slavery labor, that we are indebted for the measure of exemption we enjoy from disgraceful legislative action.

Pennsylvania, however, though much improved, is still behind the rear rank of freedom. Her leading politicians are continually bringing the blush to the cheeks of her decent citizens. Look at the action of the majority of the Pennsylvania delegates in the so-called Peace Conference. With two noble exceptions—Wm. M. Meredith and David Wilmot—these delegates voted solid for the plan of compromise recommended to Congress. And, to make the thing more monstrous, Pennsylvania had to help to give the compromise product a name as well as an existence. It is known by the title of "the Crittenden-Franklin Plan of Adjustment. Mr. Franklin, it will be remembered, was the Attorney-General of this State under the administration of Governor Pollock. We would fain hope that, by their course in this matter, Messrs. Meredith and Wilmot better represented the feeling of Pennsylvania than did the majority whose action was taken in their name. It is remembered that it was Governor Curtin who appointed these delegates, and not the people of Pennsylvania. But then, on the other hand, it must also be borne in mind that there was as large a proportion of the Members of Congress from Pennsylvania ready to agree to any plan of compromise, as from any other free State.

Pennsylvania needs a large amount of anti-slavery culture, and this fact we would have every friend of the cause in the State lay seriously to heart. Let us go to work without delay. What is it to be done? What is the end to be accomplished? Abolition, ultimately; disunion immediately; the people's enlightenment immediately. We are not alone in this work, as we used to be; we have plenty of co-workers. Disunion is no longer a bugbear. People can come close to it, and walk around it, and examine it, and experience no fear. "It is not so very dreadful," says one. "The injury might not be irreparable," says another. "Would it be an injury?" asks a third. "Would it not be a benefit?" a fourth inquires. "It seems to me," interposes a fifth, "it would be the best thing that could be done." "I don't hesitate to assert," boldly interjects a sixth, "that a complete and final dissolution of this slaveholding Union is the exact thing which the present exigency requires." And thus the discussion goes on, till the conclusion is arrived at that, after all, the Abolitionists who have been mobbed have had the right of the argument.

The thing to be desired, and the thing that can't be avoided, is dissolution. This we of the radical school have believed and taught for seventeen years. People have denounced and derided us; but the doctrine has been gaining ground all the time. Mr. Seward has scouted it, and declared dissolution an impossibility. "Not so," we have replied. "It is possible, probable, desirable, inevitable." Perhaps Mr. Seward has changed his mind by this time. Things are sometimes concealed from the "wise and prudent" and revealed to so-called "babes." "*If thine eye be single, thy whole body shall be full of light.*" This is the simple philosophy of any difference noticeable between the vision of Abolitionists and that of politicians.

The wise men at Washington seem to be somewhat at fault. The Cabinet are in a muddle, and the war council at their wit's end. The question to be settled is, the proper solution of the present difficulty. We—who are not consulted—answer, "Dissolution." Why quarrel with the inevitable? Why not make a virtue of necessity. Why kick against the pricks? Why not welcome the thing that must come? What's the use of fuss and feathers about the border States? You have done your constitutional duty to them, and a little more in your Inaugural, and in your other official measures; now, if they want to go, let them go. Don't coax them to stay. If, as they say, there be only a small minority of Secessionists in each of these States, then let the majority manage them. If they can't do this, let them follow them out of the Union, where they belong, and let them all remain there till they get rid of slavery; then, if they desire, as of course they will, let them come back, and the free States will be glad to receive them.

In the meantime, let the slaveholding South set up for itself. Let the experiment be made of two Confederacies, one on the basis of slavery, the other on the foundation of freedom. Who can doubt the result? As good old Sojourner Truth said to Frederick Douglass, "Is God gone?" Let us rejoice to be rid of these gangrened members of our body politic. We shall be stronger, happier, richer, greater than we ever were before. We shall have lost no friends, we have lost among the nations of the earth. Bound together by the ties of affinity, interest and mutual dependence, we shall enter upon a career of national glory such as has no parallel in history.

But the great trouble now is the border slave States. There is a strong desire in the Republican party to keep these States in the Union. Some want them as the means of bringing back the Gulf States; others, because of the value of the territory they cover; others allege that their retention, if possible, is due to the true men among their citizens; the latter class urging that, by purchase, sale or manumission, they can soon be cleared of slavery. This all sounds very specious, but a dangerous fallacy underlies the whole of it; the very fallacy that deceived our revolutionary fathers; the very rock on which they split. They did not intend to foster slavery. They said, "Is it not a little thing?" They took the viper, apparently powerless, into their bosoms, and lo! it has stung their offspring to death. Shall we not be warned by their example? What do we want of slave-breeding, bankrupt and arrogant Virginia? She is the meanest State in the Union. She is the State that hung John Brown and his brave companions; a State as bankrupt in character as she is in purse; a State only able to keep up external appearances by the proceeds of her "vigintial crop"; a State which is fitly represented by a Hunter, a Mason, and a "What-an-ass-and-I Wise." And what do we want of Maryland, with her "Schoolboys' Convention" on the side of Kentucky and her Crittenden patriots, demanding new guarantees for slavery, and her people destroying free presses and driving out free citizens? Or what do we want of Tennessee, or Missouri, or even Delaware, till these States first purge themselves of the crime and curse of slavery, and make themselves fit associates for Commonwealths that are free?

Whatever answer may be given to these questions, it is idle to think of averting ultimate and irrevocable dissolution. Blocked engines which, in one evil hour, our fathers made with slavery, must be unmade. That was the first fault; until we go back and undo that, God will have a controversy with this nation. That is the "golden wedge," the truly *Babylonish* spoil, which the Achan-like covetousness of our fathers hid under the tent of the Constitution. Until this people repent, and expose the theft, and condemn the act of its secretion as it deserves, they will never prosper. They will be humiliated before their enemies. The Canadians will laugh at the inhabitants of the land will envious them; their hearts will melt and become as water.

It goes hard, I admit, to see the enemy triumph. It is mortifying to hear of the intended evacuation of Fort Sumter; but if we have not virtue enough to come out of Sodom voluntarily, then let us thank God that the people drive us out. If we have so little self-respect as to stick to the slaveholders, for the money we make out of them, then let us thank God that he makes that holds us to the cotton lords, we deserve the humiliation to which every failure of our plans to cajole or manage them subjects us. Humble-pie is the proper diet for doughfaces.

Our Legislature is called upon to elect a United States Senator in place of Simon Cameron, and there are many patriots willing to serve. One would suppose that David Wilmot would be the unanimous choice of the Republican members, as he has an historic name as an able man, and was one of the foremost, and as he is one of the strongest pillars of the party. But merit and fitness are not the first points in party availability. It is amusing to read the names of applicants for office under the new administration; among them will be found many whose chief distinction has been earned in times past by their hostility to the cause which has now put them in power. In this class, however, I do not include the name of William B. Thomas, Esq., of this city, to whom, I see, has been tendered the important office of Collector of the Port of Philadelphia. A more fitting appointment could not be made. As a Republican, we have had occasion to differ with Mr. Thomas more than once, publicly and privately; but as a man, and a man of ability, integrity, and strength, William B. Thomas has no superior and few equals among the people prominent in politics in the State of Pennsylvania. M.

"A SINCERE FRIEND'S" REMONSTRANCE.

PHILADELPHIA, 11th March, 1861.

To the Editor of The National Anti-Slavery Standard.

WILL you allow "a constant reader" the prescriptive right to a brief space in your columns? Assuming your answer to be affirmative, I proceed to say that I like to see Abolitionists hugely. You are my never ceasing admiration. But you are certainly the oddest fish that ever were spawned. You blurt out your opinions of men and things with a freedom that is truly terrific. And, what I like best and marvel at most, you are as independent of each other as you are of the rest of the world. You differ without disagreeing. You keep your identity as individuals, and yet you constitute a unit as a body. You furnish an illustration of the "harmony of diversity." Your organization reminds me of Gothic structures which I have seen, with no two windows alike, and no two of some one pattern throughout, but an exquisite symmetry running through the whole, imparting graceful symmetry and impregnable strength to the entire fabric. In moral architecture, the finest specimen now extant is the American Anti-Slavery Society. When the ivy of time shall have covered its angles, and the moss of years given softness to its outlines, it will stand in history a monument more admired than the minster of London or York, or the most beautiful structure of Venice or Palmyra.

Yes, sir; if anybody wants to see how what harmonized diversity or accordant variety is, let him look at the Anti-Slavery Society and read THE STANDARD and *The Liberator* regularly. Let him especially note, in the last number of these two papers, the editorial and correspondential strictures on Uncle Abe's Inaugural. He will see there individuality, diversity, and yet harmony. You, Mr. Editor, in what you have to say on this subject, give it to us strong—very strong. Your Philadelphia correspondent, on the other hand, draws it mild. The editor of *The Liberator* prefers it not mixed—strong and mild in equal proportions. It is all wholesome drink, however, and I quaffed the goblet presented by each of you with a gusto and conscious benefit. Yours, Mr. Editor, was a *leetle* harsh and rather bitter; it took some worrying to get it down, and cost me a good many wry faces when the feat was accomplished. I hardly know what I should have done if your Philadelphia correspondent had not been near with his more pleasing draught, to take the bad taste out of my mouth.

To be honest, Mr. Editor, your dose, however wholesome, was much called for, was just as much so as I could swallow. Perhaps it was my fault, but, to speak plainly, I did not like it as well as I like your articles generally. I don't mean to flatter when I say that the leading articles of THE STANDARD, during all this exciting winter, have been marked by consummate ability, and have evinced the best possible temper. There is no falling off in this last, on the score of ability, but—*mon ami*—how is it with the temper? Was your digestion good that day? Did your biliary apparatus work free? Come, now, look at the matter again. You have thrown off your *melan cholen*, and doubtless feel better; own that you are hard on the poor old rail-splitter. His rhetoric was none of the best, but he spoke in plain, honest, muscular English, and why was that not sufficient?

One of the most accomplished writers and graceful orators in the Union pronounces the address as, on the whole, a model public document. Certainly, General Jackson never could have written as good a one. Then, the Address was free from all platitudes and from all superfinity. There was no cant in it; none of the set phrases of statecraft; it was plain to the commonest understanding. Doubtless, if it had been submitted to Mr. Seward, that expert wordmonger could have touched it up so as to make it more palatable to a fastidious taste; but I, for one, thank God that Mr. Lincoln was not the man to do it. Mr. Lincoln is upon his Secretary of State the better. It is to be hoped that he will be slow in taking the advice of that wily manager. Mr Seward is an able man, and means well, but his wisdom is not of the highest order. He lacks ingenuousness. He aims to accomplish his ends by indirection; he is not above trick. Uncle Abe must know this. He will not soon forget that it was Seward's dodge that subjected him to the degradation of stealing secretly and disguised through the night. Lincoln revolted at the proposition, but Seward said, through his messenger, "You *must* submit; we'll take the responsibility; only do as we direct, and you shall see that it is all right." So honest Old Abe, like the boy at school, when told to "shut his eyes and open his mouth," obeyed implicitly; and everlasting ridicule will be the consequence. I shall never forgive Seward for putting that affront upon the most upright and personally fearless old Western frontiersman.

As yon Abolitionists profess to expect nothing from Lincoln's Administration, I don't see why you should be disappointed. Thus far, you ought to thank him for what he has not done. Don't ask for more than was promised. You "can't make a silk purse out of a sow's ear." You can't make an uncompromising opponent of slavery out of a man sworn to support the pro-slavery compromises of the Constitution. I should have supposed that, with your views of that document, you would have been pleased with the President's principles of interpretation. Speaking of the Fugitive Slave law, he says, "the intent of the lawgiver is the law." That is sound Garrisonian, anti-Lysander Spooner doctrine, is it not? He says (in substance), "What matters it to any party concerned whether we send back the fugitive through Congressional intervention or State legislation? So say you, Garrison! If we agree to do the dirty work, what is the use of haggling about instrumentalities?

Mr. Lincoln does turn his "face towards the South," but that is not unnatural, since there's where the trouble is. But you don't really think he got "down on both his knees," do you? Certainly the slaveholders are not deceived. They know, if you don't, that "he will not make the Fugitive Slave law, and the duty of its enforcement, the first object of attention, but, when a sensible man has a disagreeable job of work to do, he is impatient till it's accomplished. When my tooth aches, I (being a sensible man) hurry to the dentist. Let us charitably hope that Mr. Lincoln had some such reason for his apparently indecent "alacrity."

It is true that Mr. Lincoln has but little to say on the subject of slavery in the Territories—only two lines; but these two lines don't mean any comfort to the slaveholders. I believe he has not yet consented to abandon any portion of the public domain to the South, and, perhaps, does not intend to do so. Let us see.

Yon Abolitionists—or, if you will allow me, we Abolitionists—will be brought into collision with Mr. Lincoln and his administration soon enough; why should we anticipate? There will be causes plenty for quarrel between us and the government; why not abide our time? The time will soon be reached when the true and the false; the germs of a new and more radical anti-slavery party—that is, a political abolition party—will soon develop themselves. It will be time enough then, or, more modestly speaking—for I really defer to your superior knowledge—will it not be time enough then to unsheath the sword and sound the tocsin?

At any rate, let us enjoy the hope that you will not begin the fight with the new President till you can't help it. Poor old fellow! he is to be pitied. With all the slaveholders at the South arrayed against him, and all their blackguard coadjutors at the North in full cry upon his track; with a hungry swarm of office-seekers worrying his life out, and an unprincipled set of political associates advising concession; with a heart naturally open to generous emotions, and a sincere desire to promote the cause of freedom, but with an "oath registered in heaven" to maintain the existing system of slavery; what under heaven is the poor man to do? His burden is greater than he can bear! Dear Mr. Editor, do have some bowels! Don't be needlessly severe. Lay it on to Seward; pitch into Cameron; keep Mr. Chase up to his work; don't spare old Bates; stand behind the whole Cabinet with your sharp stick; but as for Uncle Abe, "wait," as the phrase is, "for the overt act." Give his words the benefit of the most charitable construction; plead, where plausible construction can be found for them, a flagrant misdeed demands castigation.

"Lay on, Macduff,

And damned be he who first cries, *Hold, enough.*"

By giving this communication a place in this week's STANDARD you will much oblige A SINCERE FRIEND.

HOW SEWARD IS UNDERSTOOD AT THE SOUTH.—While the people of the North have been puzzling their brains to divine the meaning of Mr. Seward's latest speeches and acts, the anti-secession slaveholders have been feeding the hope that he would abandon the Republican and put himself at the head of a new Union-saving party. The Washington correspondent of the Charleston Mercury, under date of March 5th, says:

"The patchwork compromise has not passed yet, nor is it likely to do so. Indeed, the chances are quite the other way. Seward himself seems to be opposed to it. So, indeed, are the Republicans generally in both houses. Seward's idea, as I hear from a reliable source, is to have no settlement while Buchanan is in power. The moment he is dethroned, peace will be proclaimed, and the honor of saving the country will redound not to the Republicans, but to the new Union party which Seward is forming, with the aid of the Conservatives of the South and Bolts. Peace restored, and the Confederate States recognized, the next step will be to call a National Convention. This Convention will remodel the whole structure of the government in such a way as to bring back the seceded States, and place the Union on a permanent and enduring foundation. Such is the grand project which Seward is maturing. What is the use of the "irrepressible conflict" between free and slave society he has not vouchsafed to inform us."

WAS MR. SEWARD'S opposition to the appointment of Chase and Bates to their respective places in the Cabinet dictated by the conviction that their influence would defeat his project of making the Administration the nucleus of his proposed Union party? And, if so, has he abandoned the project, or is he still hoping to consummate it?

The New York Observer, with all its devotion to the interests of the slaveholders, does not go far enough to satisfy their demands. At the same time that it discourages right thinking men at the North by its pro-slavery spirit and policy, it gets such kicks as this from one of its subscribers in Tennessee:

"We cannot sustain a paper that inculcates opinions and doctrines so hostile to the interests of the South. You support the Black Republicans, which are treason to our rights and white and water. You make great glorification over Etheridge's, Clemens's, Gilmer's, and Johnson's speeches in Congress—men who are all traitors to the South, so said to their constituents. You are great Union men, but we can perceive the *cloven foot*. You are Black Republicans, Lincolnites and Abolitionists in disguise. Stop sending us your paper."

Very, slavery is a hard master, when it refuses to accept the service of a Union-saving slave!

THE HUTCHINSON FAMILY (John and Abby and the wife and children of the former) are now in Chester Co., Pa. They will give a concert at Kennett Square on Monday evening next, and, we presume, will visit other places in that vicinity. The bare announcement will be enough to secure for them overflowing audiences.

New Publications.

THE BRITISH QUARTERLIES.

The current issues of these periodicals, from the press of Leonard Scott & Co., 79 Fulton street, were promptly received. We have waited from hour to hour for an earlier notice of their contents; and even now we must be brief.

The Edinburgh Review contains the following papers: 1. Church Extension and Liturgical Revision; 2. Japan and the Japanese (for extracts see STANDARD of March 2d); 3. The Victoria Bridge; 4. Political Ballads of England and Holland; 5. Ocean Telegraphy; 6. Autobiography of Dr. A. Carlyle; 7. Motley's History of the United Netherlands; 8. Forbes and Tyndall on the Alps and their Glaciers; 9. The Kingdom of Italy; 10. Naval Organization.

The London Quarterly opens with a paper reviewing the policy of the Canadian government since its practical independence, and full of information not less interesting to the people of this country than to those of Great Britain. Next, we have an article on "The Welsh and their Literature," interesting alike to the scholar and the general reader. Motley's Histories are elaborately reviewed and highly commended. "The Iron Manufacture" is the subject of an article which will attract attention in business circles. The Italian question is ably treated from a Tory standpoint. "The Dogs of History and Romance" is the title of a paper which will be highly appreciated by all the lovers of the children. "Income Tax and its Rivals" is a subject of local rather than general interest. The closing paper, "Essays and Reviews," is a critical examination of the theological volume which has lately attracted so much attention in this country as well as in Great Britain. The volume is, of course, condemned as grossly heretical and pernicious.

The Westminster contains the following papers: 1. Ancient Danish Ballads; 2. Alcohol: What becomes of it? Its Living Sphere; 3. Canada; 4. Bible Revisers; 5. The Neapolitan and Roman Questions; 6. American Slavery: The Impending Crisis; 7. Cavour and Garibaldi; 8. Dante and his English Translators; 9. Contemporary Literature. Of these articles, the second and the sixth will be most likely to attract attention in this country, relating as they do to questions of great practical interest. The first-named of these is a scientific demonstration of the erroneousness of the doctrine (formerly promulgated in the pages of this journal, see number for July, 1855) that *alcohol is food*. This doctrine, it will be remembered, was vehemently urged as conclusive against the fundamental principle of the Temperance movement. The present paper gives the results of later researches, affording new and commanding evidence that alcohol is not food, but a poison. The writer says:

"There is no more evidence of alcohol being in any way assimilated in the body, than there is in regard to ether or chloroform. If alcohol is to be still designated as *food*, we must extend the meaning of that term so as to make it comprehend not only poisons, but anæsthetics, but all medicines and poisons—in fact, everything which can be swallowed and absorbed, however foreign it may be to the normal constitution of the body, and yet be not more injurious to its functions. On the other hand, from no definition that can be framed of a poison, which should include those most powerful anæsthetic agents which have been employed in the operative surgery, it is clearly manifested in a great number of instances, can alcohol be fairly slur out."

This new light is the result of rigid experimental researches by Drs. Ludger Lallemond and Maurice Perrin, Professors at the Imperial School of Medicine, and by J. L. P. Duray, Member of the Society of Pharmacy, in Paris.—The article on American slavery is founded upon Helper's and Olmsted's works. The writer, in most things well informed, evidently does not understand the principles and measures of the Abolitionists, nor the true state of things in the Northern States.

The North British contains eleven articles, as follows: 1. India Convalescent; 2. Shelley and his Recent Biographers; 3. Large Farms and Small; 4. Country of the Scottish Lowlands; 4. Lord Dundonald; 5. Modern Necromancy (review of Edmonds and Owen on "Spiritualism"); 6. Engineering and Engineers; 7. The Political Press—French, British, and German; 8. Home Ballads and Poems (doing honor to Whittier); 9. Hersey's Bampton Lecture; 10. Dr. Carlyle's Autobiography; 11. Lord Palmerston and Our Foreign Policy.

BLACKWOOD'S MAGAZINE (Leonard Scott & Co's reprint) for February contains: 1. School and College Life; 2. Carthage and its Romains; 3. Spontaneous Generation; 4. The Transatlantic Telegraph; 5. Norman Sinclair, Part XIII.; 6. Biographia Dramatica; 7. Judicial Purposes; 8. The Foreign Secretary.

THE ATLANTIC MONTHLY for March contains its usual variety of pleasant reading. Mr. Higginson's paper on Gymnastics combines instruction and amusement in a way quite charming. The Professor's story will be concluded in the number for April, and in that for May Mrs. Stowe will commence a new novel, entitled "Agnes of Sorrento," to be continued through this year and part of the next. This announcement will attract thousands of new readers to *The Atlantic*.

THE CHRISTIAN EXAMINER for March contains the following articles: 1. Dr. Pusey's Plea for Eternal Punishment; 2. Friedrich Wilhelm von Therach; 3. The Cause of Reason the Cause of Faith; 4. The Eliot Novels; 5. Müller's History of Vedic Literature; 6. Emancipation in Russia; 7. Review of Current Literature. Boston: Walker, Wise and Co.

THE FUGITIVE SLAVE LAW AND ITS VICTIMS. Revised and Enlarged. New York: Rudd & Carleton, 1861. Pp. 85.

This highly valuable tract (we give our own sentiment in the words of *The Liberator*), the first instalment of which was issued some years ago, has been revised, with great care, by its author, Rev. Samuel May, Jr., and now appears enlarged to nearly four times its original size, and improved by the addition of an index, and of sundry comments and historical references.

It gives a full exposition and history of the Fugitive Slave law, which was well called, by the New York *Evening Post*, "An Act for the Encouragement of Kidnapping." It gives a full analysis of the votes by which that act was passed in both houses of Congress, chronicling the names of the principal persons who framed and advocated, and of all who supported it, and naming also those members from the Northern States who evaded the vote by absence or silence and thus made themselves responsible equally with the voters, for this greatest disgrace of our country.

The tract then proceeds to record the cases of the victims under this infamous law, as far as these can be gathered from the newspapers, of all parties and all sections of the country. The names, dates, places and circumstances of these transactions are given, and the sources of the original records are carefully referred to, enabling those who wish to trace out the individual cases to do so.

This carefully prepared record of ten years of the Fugitive Slave law reveals the disgraceful facts, that the number of fugitives arrested and then set free, or rescued, is so insignificant as barely to form a feature in the case; while the number of ACTUALLY FREE persons stolen, kidnapped, and hurried thus slavery from the Northern States, is to be counted by hundreds!

Now that a new President of the United States has commenced his reign by proclaiming his purpose to execute this atrocious law—announcing at the same time that he has "*no inclination*" to interfere with the oppression which now reigns in the slave States, and that he has "*no objection*" to a new enactment, making the constitutional support of slavery "*express and irrevocable*"—it is highly desirable that a tract like this should be extensively circulated and carefully read.

SAMUEL E. SEWALL, Esq., one of the ablest members of the Boston Bar, says:

"It seems to me an exceedingly valuable work, though it thrills one with horror and indignation to read it. My present impression is, that it is one of the most, if not the most effective attack on American slavery that has yet appeared. No one who has a soul left can read it, and not be roused by it. I wish every legislator in the country could have a copy of it."

It is for sale at the Anti-Slavery Office, 5 Beekman street, New York; 107 North Fifth street, Philadelphia; 15 Steuben street, Albany; and 221 Washington street, Boston. Price, 12 cents single, $10 per hundred.

LITTELL'S LIVING AGE comes to us weekly, its pages chiefly filled with selections from the best periodical literature of Great Britain, embracing not only poetry and fiction, but biographical and historical sketches, the latest fruits of scientific research, and articles on European politics. Boston: Littell, Son & Co.

THE PRESIDENT AND THE FUGITIVE SLAVE LAW.—Those who were present at the Yearly Meeting of Progressive Friends, held at Longwood, Pa., in June last, cannot have forgotten the impatience and indignation manifested by some good Republicans when told that their candidate for the Presidency was a supporter of the Fugitive Slave law. The fact was at first indignantly denied, but when the evidence offered was found to be unimpeachable, the meeting was treated to arguments intended to show that Abolitionists might, nevertheless, consistently vote for him. The question of the day, I think, is pretty fully discussed in the text of the President's Inaugural. Is it not a shame that the very man for whose election thousands of well-meaning anti-slavery men toiled, prayed and voted, on if his administration would be sure to inaugurate the reign of justice and freedom, is the very first President of the United States who ever made a specific pledge to enforce the Fugitive Slave law! Has not Mr. Lincoln, by that pledge, justified Mr. Phillips in gibbeting him, as he did before the election, as "the slave-hound of Illinois"?

THE PIONEER is a German paper, published in Boston by Mr. Karl Heinzen, an able writer and thorough opponent of every form of slavery. The following letter explains itself:

BOSTON, Feb. 24, 1861.

MR. KARL HEINZEN—*Dear Sir*: I see you are obliged to strike off some of your Southern subscribers. Let me congratulate you on this proof of your fidelity to truth and principle. I see, also, that you are hard on your list, if I read the German with any ease. But my ignorance in that direction does not blind me to the value of your labors, or lessen my interest in the success of your journal.

Let me testify it by asking you to accept the inclosed twenty dollars, which please use in any way to help *The Pioneer* and believe me

Very cordially and respectfully yours,
WENDELL PHILLIPS.

Mr. Heinzen, in acknowledging Mr. Phillips's donation, says he will use it in sending *The Pioneer* gratuitously to twelve of his countrymen who are desirous to read the paper and yet are unable to pay the subscription price. He calls upon persons of this class to send him their address.

FORT SUMTER TO BE EVACUATED!—Great astonishment and not a little indignation has been excited by the news of the announcement that the new Administration is about to evacuate Fort Sumter. The statement at first seemed utterly incredible, but it is scarcely doubted at this writing that the obnoxious measure has been determined upon. The ground of justification is, the alleged impossibility, with the means under the immediate control of the government, of getting men and supplies into the fort. Gen. Scott and other high military men have, it is said, declared unfavorably to the practicability of evacuation a disagreeable necessity. The responsibility for this state of things is placed upon Mr. Buchanan, who refused to send reinforcements and supplies when he might have done so without difficulty, and thus gave the rebels time to erect their batteries.

APPOINTMENTS.—Cassius M. Clay has been appointed Minister of the United States at the Court of Spain: Thomas Corwin is to go to Mexico. In connection with intrigues of the Cotton Confederacy in that quarter; and our good anti-slavery friend, George E. Baker of Albany, has got the place of Disbursing Clerk in the State Department at Washington—an appointment which he doubtless owes to the favor of Mr. Seward, who, we presume, has not required his biographer and former private secretary to endorse his policy of compromise.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT.—The subscriptions of Mrs. E. C. Stanton, Seneca Falls, N. Y., $5, and Madame Meynier, Paris, France, $40, for the Anti-Slavery Anniversary Subscription Festival have been gratefully received by M. W. CHAPMAN.

Summary.

A FAMILY BURNED TO DEATH.—A whole family—husband, wife, and five young children, the two youngest being twins—were burned to death in the destruction of their house, on the morning of the 7th inst., near the corner of Broadway and Fortieth street. The name of the husband and father was Henry J. Wood.

ATTEMPT BY A NEGRO.—There were two learned negroes in Kentucky, of whom one was named after the great founder of the Roman Empire, and the other bore the name of the assassin. Brutus, meeting Cæsar, was accosted by Julius Cæsar. "What—you smoke?" asked the latter. "Yes, I am a little nervous to-day," said Brutus. "*Et tu, Brute!*" was the exclamation of Cæsar.—*Punch.*

Rev. Charles Becher, of Georgetown, in his sermon after Sabbath last, delivered a fearful warning against the sin of Sabbath-breaking, which attempting to hire a team of a negro, was murdered by the negro, who turned upon him, and, with a club, killed him with a single blow. The negro was arrested and committed to the Washington jail.

FEMALE LIBERTY BILL.—In the Massachusetts House of Representatives on the 5th inst., a very able and elaborate petition was presented of David Lee Child et als., women, for the enactment of a Female Liberty Law, which to be printed. The document covers 27 closely written pages—equal to about 70 pages of ordinary manuscript.—*Liberator.*

THE LITERATURE OF REBELLION.—The stuff that traitors are made of is pictured in a letter from a rebel to his son, who defends a secession meeting at Centreville, Cedar County, Missouri:

"NOTICE.—Sir Will Bec A Soother Volleneer Meling on Saturday the 16 for the purpos of making up the Cumpney So we may be Reserved and Bee organize in to A Independenty Compney and that is the Ture Sense of the Peple. Come and join us in the perrade on Saturday next February the 9 A D 1861.

"GREELEYISM."—A correspondent asks, "What is Greeleyism?" It is free love, table tossing, infidelity, temperance preferences, vote yourself a farm, hatred of the South, and hatred of the Union. Yes, it is all this, with the fragments of Greeleyism.—*Boston Courier.*

[This is all the crude and foolish Democratic party gives Mr. Greeley for the contemptuous thrusts at Abolitionists.]

DISINTERESTED BENEVOLENCE.—Some persons in New York have recently presented Mrs. Lincoln, the wife of the President, with a valuable Cashmere shawl. It is a curious coincidence, that just now the husband of this lady is supposed to have a large number of valuable offices at his command, and that, of course, he will be under no bad no suspicion of this. They merely wanted to show their regard for an excellent woman.

DISUNION.—The California correspondent of *The Times*, speaking of Seward's latest speech in the Senate, shrewdly says: "It was eminently gratifying to hear from the author of the memorable speech of last fall, that the forces of labor (in our country) if slavery were not perverted to purposes of treason, would be powerful enough to prevent a general strike in the Confederacy." *We only grieved that we had not known this before, and so saved our powder.*

REDPATH'S AGENT.—A. J. Ackerman, whose arrest as a kidnapper of Kansas-Boston-Tribune-Hayti notoriety, we have mentioned, was yesterday in Boston, after a long interview with Mr. Pierce and Mr. Morrow. He showed us his letters from Redpath, and these, with his other showings made with the approval of Pierce and Morrow, satisfied us that he was not to further the scheme of free negro emigration to Hayti—a business against which no objection can be urged.—*N. O. Picayune.*

COLORED SOLDIERS.—A letter from Georgia contains this remark: "Our free colored gentry are talking operas, and preparing to form a company to go to Hayti. Our officer sons the guns and engineers, not yet forthcoming. The rest of mankind of that complexion will stand in their place in the front of the battle in our minds, in the defence of their homes and outs, in the last extremity." [When no white man will do it for them, they will do it themselves. Cowards or weak, dares make any show of opposition to the Secession despotism, is it any wonder that the poor slaves and free colored people submit with the best grace they can? *These* homes and outs, forsooth!]

HAYTIEN EMIGRATIONS.—The brig Jeanet Kidston, which took out the first emigrants to the Haytien Bureau, arrived at this port Tuesday. There were about sixty persons who arrived on the Island—good spirits, and sound things, they say, are satisfactory. They were officially received by the Prime Minister, and introduced to the President, who gave them a large welcome and promised to do all in his power for the protection of the government. He told them they might settle where they chose. He also said, if they wished to return, and mistake, he would go to St. Mark, with the intention of entering into the cotton growing business.—*Boston Atlas.*

FLORIDA IN DISTRESS.—*The Tallahassee Observer* contains the following: "Florida will soon be called upon to furnish her quota of men for the regular army of the Southern Confederacy. Her quota will be some pot down at 1,000 men. Now, with an empty treasury, we cannot raise money to enlist, equip, and arm the number. If our State is bankrupt at this early date, what will be the condition of affairs the moon she sells out the better. The State government cannot be carried on for want of funds. Let Florida do her duty, but let our sister States south of us, Alabama, by the line of the Chattahoochee River. The sooner this is done the better, for to this it must come at last."

THE PRIDE OF LEAR KING LEAR.—We select the following gem from the Washington special despatch of *The Richmond Enquirer*:

"The rural appearance, pinched and cunning features, and scanty wardrobe of the thousand visitors here, is the subject of general remark. The cupboards of the various railroad trains say that on an average there is only one trunk to one hundred passengers. The rest are supplied with carpet bags. The hotels and boarding houses ask pay in advance. The barbers and shoeblacks complain of the numbers of idle visitors. The barbers and shoeblacks complain of the numbers of idle visitors. The barbers and shoeblacks complain of the numbers of idle visitors. The barbers and shoeblacks complain of the numbers of idle visitors. The barbers and shoeblacks complain of the numbers of idle visitors. The barbers and shoeblacks complain of the numbers of idle visitors. The barbers and shoeblacks complain of the numbers of idle visitors. The barbers and shoeblacks complain of the numbers of idle visitors. The barbers and shoeblacks complain of the numbers of idle visitors. The barbers and shoeblacks complain of the numbers of idle visitors. The barbers and shoeblacks complain of the numbers of idle visitors. The barbers and shoeblacks complain of the numbers of idle visitors. The barbers and shoeblacks complain of the numbers of idle visitors. The barbers and shoeblacks complain of the numbers of idle visitors. The barbers and shoeblacks complain of the numbers of idle visitors. The barbers and shoeblacks complain of the numbers of idle visitors. The barbers and shoeblacks complain of the numbers of idle visitors. The barbers and shoeblacks complain of the numbers of idle visitors. The barbers and shoeblacks complain of the numbers of idle visitors

Miscellaneous Department.

SONNETS.

TO THE LIGHT-CENTRE.

Thou dark the Stars, and cancel their dull shine on!
Yet dark the Stars, and cancel wasting fire, and
No dark will this—the spending waste, fire now!
And we as soon could dight the Milky Way,
Where they emblaze, the Night-Wind's Wings of Day,
As question of the quick—their light to single.
Whose lean we—whose enlarge—the Sun, when
Day, dying, dye—the consumed hath him
Strip out make beggars of its kingsmen.
Thou art the Sun—Thou art the Day—
Thou art the Day—Thou art the Sun—
From Thee—Thy own fashion with celestial fire
Must needs flow the glory in, dead!
Thy Light—Thy Life—Thy Love—Thy Life.
And I, while thy in thy shining or in dark,
Know God is with me, or the shining or dark.

TO WILLIAM H. SEWARD

He is a Pilot in the Ship of State,
Who shapes in port, and keeps at sea, his course
When others shift to and fro, at the mercy of winds
Making right reason dominant over fate,
The fickle winds may veer and vacillate,
He sails onward, and steers the same direction still;
The billows roll his keel, but not his will.
Brave pilot! with what a high-hearted confidence
And while his harness fare upon the sea,
His soul hath fooling on a heavenly shore.
Thou art, O God, the life and light of all this world
Who when man is loss, in peace no more,
And relieves his best thought of his private.
A public—lord, though Treason plot and roar!

—*Tribune.*

AUTOBIOGRAPHY OF DR. ALEXANDER CARLYLE.

It has long been known that a memoir concerning the Scottish literati of last century existed in manuscript in the possession of Principal Lee of the Edinburgh University, being the composition of the Rev. Dr. Alexander Carlyle, minister of Inveresk, who is alluded to in "Humphrey Clinker" as the companion of that brilliant set of men, and as "wanting nothing but inclination to figure with the rest on paper." The very reverend principal, as has been previously stated, of Carlyle, entertained scruples about giving his manuscript to the world, as containing many remarks calculated to give offence to individuals; but since his death in May, 1859, his representatives, considering that the work generally referred to as